FILED IN CLERK'S OFFICE
U.S.D.C. - Columbus, GA
2026 JUN 22 PM 1:15

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF GEORGIA
COLUMBUS DIVISION

EURIS PEREZ SOYET,

A# [redacted]

Petitioner,

v.

WARDEN, STEWART DETENTION CENTER;
ACTING DIRECTOR, U.S. IMMIGRATION AND CUSTOMS ENFORCEMENT;
SECRETARY, DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY,
Respondents.

PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS
PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. § 2241

I, Euris Perez Soyet, proceeding pro se, respectfully petition this Court for a Writ of Habeas Corpus and state:

I. INTRODUCTION

1. This action challenges my continued immigration detention under the Due Process Clause of the Fifth Amendment.
2. I am detained at Stewart Detention Center in Lumpkin, Georgia.
3. I do not have a final order of removal.
4. My removal proceedings remain pending before the Immigration Court.
5. I have filed an application for asylum and continue pursuing lawful immigration relief.
6. The Government continues to detain me without a meaningful individualized custody determination demonstrating that detention remains necessary.
7. Because my detention is civil rather than punitive, the Constitution requires adequate procedural protections before my liberty may continue to be restricted.

8. I respectfully request a constitutionally adequate custody hearing or, alternatively, my release under reasonable conditions of supervision.

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

9. This Court has jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241 and 28 U.S.C. § 1331.

10. Venue is proper because I am confined within the Middle District of Georgia.

III. FACTUAL BACKGROUND

11. I am a citizen of Cuba.

12. I entered the United States through the CBP parole process on or about February 27, 2024.

13. I was later placed in removal proceedings and served with a Notice to Appear.

14. My immigration case remains pending and no final order of removal has been entered.

15. During my proceedings, the Immigration Judge granted me the opportunity to apply for asylum, and I timely filed that application.

16. On or about March 9, 2026, I was arrested in connection with a traffic-related matter.

17. The underlying issue resulted from financial hardship after I lost employment and became unable to complete installment payments on traffic fines.

18. Prior to my detention, I had appeared in court concerning those fines and had begun making payments.

19. My detention was not related to violence, drugs, weapons, or any conduct indicating danger to the public.

20. I have no criminal record, no criminal convictions, and no history of violent conduct.

21. Following my arrest, ICE placed an immigration hold and assumed custody of me.

22. I was subsequently transferred to Stewart Detention Center, where I remain detained.

23. I have appeared before the Immigration Court on multiple occasions and have actively pursued my immigration case.

24. Because I am seeking asylum, I have a strong incentive to comply with all future immigration proceedings and court orders.

25. I do not present a danger to the community.

26. I do not present a significant risk of flight.

27. I am willing to comply with any conditions of release imposed by ICE or this Court, including ISAP supervision, electronic monitoring, GPS monitoring, reporting requirements, home visits, or any other reasonable supervision measures.

28. I have a sponsor, Diosmedi Paul, who resides in Norcross, Georgia, and has agreed to provide housing, support, and assistance during the pendency of my immigration proceedings.

IV. CONSTITUTIONAL VIOLATION

29. Immigration detention is civil in nature and therefore subject to the protections of the Fifth Amendment.

30. The Due Process Clause prohibits the Government from depriving a person of liberty without adequate procedural safeguards.

31. Continued detention without a meaningful individualized custody determination violates procedural due process.

32. Respondents have never been required to demonstrate, through a constitutionally adequate process, that my continued detention remains necessary.

33. The Government has not established that I present a danger to the community or a significant risk of flight.

34. Due process requires meaningful review of continued detention and consideration of the particular facts of the detainee's case.

35. My continued detention without those protections violates the Fifth Amendment.

V. PROLONGED CIVIL DETENTION

36. I remain detained while my asylum proceedings continue.

37. I have diligently pursued all available legal remedies.

38. The continuation of civil detention without adequate procedural protections raises serious constitutional concerns.

39. The Government should bear the burden of proving by clear and convincing evidence that continued detention is necessary.

40. No such showing has been made.

VI. CONSTITUTIONAL LIMITS ON IMMIGRATION DETENTION

41. In Zadvydas v. Davis, 533 U.S. 678 (2001), the Supreme Court recognized that immigration detention is subject to constitutional limitations.

42. Although I am not subject to a final order of removal, Zadvydas confirms that civil immigration detention is constrained by the Due Process Clause and cannot continue without adequate constitutional justification

43 Those constitutional principles support meaningful judicial review of continued detention in this case.

VII. EQUITIES FAVORING RELEASE

44. Several factors strongly support my release or a prompt custody hearing.

45. I have no criminal record and no history of violent conduct.

46. My detention did not arise from conduct suggesting danger to the public.

47. Petitioner has an available sponsor in Georgia who has provided a written declaration agreeing to provide housing and support upon release.

48. Petitioner therefore has a stable residence available and substantial community support.

49. I am pursuing a non-frivolous asylum claim that remains pending before the Immigration Court.

50. I have participated in my immigration proceedings and intend to continue doing so.

51. I am willing to comply with all conditions of supervision deemed appropriate by ICE or this Court.

52. Less restrictive alternatives to detention are available and would adequately serve any legitimate governmental interest.

VIII. SUPPORTING AUTHORITIES

53. Relief is supported by the constitutional principles recognized in:

a. Zadvydas v. Davis, 533 U.S. 678 (2001);

b. Lopez-Arevalo v. Ripa;

c. Santiago v. Noem;

d. Martinez v. Noem;

e. Erazo Rojas v. Noem;

f. Lala Barros v. Noem;

g. Gomez Hernandez v. ICE Field Office Director.

54. This case presents a constitutional due process challenge and not merely a question of statutory interpretation.

IX. IRREPARABLE HARM

55. Every day of unlawful detention causes irreparable injury.

56. Continued detention separates me from my family and significantly restricts my ability to prepare and present my asylum claim.

57. No monetary remedy can compensate for the loss of liberty caused by unconstitutional detention.

X. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Petitioner respectfully requests that this Court:

A. Grant this Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus;

B. Order Respondents to provide a prompt individualized custody hearing before a neutral decision-maker;

C. Require Respondents to prove by clear and convincing evidence that Petitioner presents a danger to the community or a significant risk of flight;

D. Order Petitioner's release if Respondents cannot satisfy that burden;

E. Alternatively, order Petitioner's release under reasonable conditions of supervision, including ISAP or any other conditions deemed appropriate;

F. Grant any additional relief the Court considers just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,



EURIS PEREZ SOYET
A# [redacted]
Stewart Detention Center
146 CCA Road
Lumpkin, Georgia 31815
Date: June 4, 2026

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF GEORGIA
COLUMBUS DIVISION

EURIS PEREZ SOYET,
A# [redacted]
Petitioner,
v.
WARDEN, STEWART DETENTION CENTER;
ACTING DIRECTOR, U.S. IMMIGRATION AND CUSTOMS ENFORCEMENT;
SECRETARY, U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY,
Respondents.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Euris Perez Soyet, hereby certify that on this 4th day of June, 2026, I placed a true and correct copy of the foregoing Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus, Declaration, Motion to Expedite Consideration, and all supporting documents in the institutional mail system at Stewart Detention Center, with first-class postage prepaid, addressed to:

United States Attorney
Middle District of Georgia
475 Mulberry Street
Macon, Georgia 31201

and


Office of the Principal Legal Advisor
U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement
180 Spring Street SW, Suite 522

Atlanta, Georgia 30303

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on June 4, 2026.

Respectfully submitted,



EURIS PEREZ SOYET

A# [redacted]

Stewart Detention Center

146 CCA Road

Lumpkin, Georgia 31815

Date: June 4, 2026