IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

JOSUE JOEL TISOY-DAVID Petitioner, v. J.L. JAMISON, in his official capacity as Warden of Federal Detention Center, Philadelphia; BRIAN MCSHANE, in his official capacity as Acting Philadelphia Field Office Director, United States Immigration and Customs Enforcement; TODD LYONS, in his official capacity as Acting Director of Immigration and Customs Enforcement; MARKWAYNE MULLIN, in his official capacity as Secretary of the Department of Homeland Security; THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY; TODD BLANCHE, in his official capacity as Acting Attorney General of the United States Respondents.	Case No. 26-cv-___ Before the Honorable _____

PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS

Josue Joel Tisoy-David (hereinafter referred to as "Petitioner" or "Mr. Tisoy-David", [redacted], submits this petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241 and the Suspension Clause (Article 1, Section 9, Clause 2) of the United States Constitution. Petitioner requests that this Court release him from

detention by the Immigration and Customs Enforcement ("ICE") or, alternatively, conduct or order a bond hearing in which the Respondents bear the burden of justifying Petitioner's continued detention. Petitioner also requests that the Court set aside recent policies implemented by Respondents that are contrary to the statutory right of non-citizens like Petitioner to seek release and bail through an exercise of the Respondents' discretion.

Mr. Tisoy-David is a native of Venezuela seeking asylum in the United States. Mr. Tisoy-David entered the United States on May 26, 2021 at the Eagle Pass, Texas Point of Entry to Denver, where he was granted parole.

Mr. Tisoy-David has a pending Asylum application, is under a temporary protective order and has a Master's Hearing scheduled for May 7, 2026. See Exhibit "A" EOIR Automated Case Information.

On April 23, 2026, Mr. Tisoy-David was detained two blocks from his home by ICE agents. He was asked to exit his vehicle and was taken into custody. ICE agents arrested Mr. Tisoy-David without stated justification. Respondents arbitrarily detained, Mr. Tisoy-David despite the requirement under 8 U.S.C. § 1226(a) and its implementing regulations that immigration officials make an individualized custody determination. Moreover, Respondents have adopted policies enshrined in administrative decisions by the Board of Immigration Appeals

("BIA") that subject non-citizens like Mr. Tisoy-David to mandatory detention in violation of Section 1226(a).

Mr. Tisoy-David is presently detained at the Federal Detention Center in Philadelphia. See ICE Detainee locator attached as Exhibit "B".

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241 (habeas corpus); 28 U.S.C. § 1651 (All Writs Act); 28 U.S.C. § 1331 (federal question); 5 U.S.C. § 702 (Administrative Procedures Act); U.S. Const. amend. V (Due Process Clause); and U.S. Const. art. I, § 9, cl. 2 (Suspension Clause).

2. Venue is proper in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) and 28 U.S.C. § 2241(d), because at the time of filing his Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Doc. 1), Mr. Tisoy-David is imprisoned at the Federal Detention Center ("FDC") in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

PARTIES

3. Petitioner Mr. Tisoy-David is a native of Venezuela seeking asylum and withholding from removal. He is detained at the Federal Detention Center in Philadelphia, PA.

4. Respondent J.L. Jamison is named in his official capacity as the Warden of FDC, Philadelphia, which detains individuals suspected of civil immigration violations. Respondent Jamison is the immediate physical custodian responsible for the detention of Petitioner.

5. Respondent Brian McShane is the Acting Philadelphia Field Office Director for Immigration and Customs Enforcement's ("ICE") Enforcement and Removal Operations. In this capacity he is responsible for the custody of all noncitizens detained by ICE at FDC, Philadelphia and has the authority to release Mr. Tisoy-David or transfer him to a different facility. He is one of Mr. Tisoy-David's immediate custodians and is sued in his official capacity.

6. Respondent Todd Lyons is the Acting Director of ICE. In this capacity he is responsible for enforcing immigration laws, and as such is a legal custodian of Mr. Tisoy-David. He is sued in his official capacity.

7. Respondent Markwayne Mullin is Secretary of Homeland Security. In this capacity he runs the Department of Homeland Security, and is charged pursuant to 8 U.S.C. 1103(a)(1) with administering and enforcing immigration laws. He is the ultimate legal custodian of Mr. Tisoy-David and is sued in his official capacity.

8. The Department of Homeland Security ("DHS") is the agency of the federal government responsible for enforcing the immigration laws. DHS is also Mr. Tisoy-David's legal custodian.

9. Respondent Todd Blanche is the Acting Attorney General of the United States and the head of the U.S. Department of Justice, which encompasses the Board of Immigration Appeals ("BIA") and immigration courts, known collectively as the Executive Office of Immigration Review ("EOIR"). Mr. Blanche shares responsibility for the implementation and enforcement of immigration laws along with Respondent Mullin. Mr. Blanche is a legal custodian of Mr. Tisoy-David. He is sued in his official capacity.

LEGAL FRAMEWORK

10. For non-citizens attempting to the enter the United States, the INA provides under 8 U.S.C. § 1225(b)(2)(A) that "in the case of [a non-citizen] who is an applicant from admission, if the examining immigration officer determines that an alien seeking admission is not clearly and beyond a doubt entitled to be admitted, the alien shall be detained." "A noncitizen detained under Section 1225(b)(2) may be released only if he is paroled 'for urgent humanitarian reasons or significant public benefit' pursuant to 8 U.S.C. § 1182(d)(5)(A)." *Gomes v. Hyde*,

25 Civ. 11571, 2025 WL 1868288, at *2 (D. Mass. July 7, 2025) (quoting *Jennings v. Rodriguez*, 583 U.S. 281, 300 (2018)).

11. In contrast, the Supreme Court has found that "U.S. immigration law authorizes the Government to detain certain [non-citizens] *already in the country* pending the outcome of removal proceedings under §§ 1226(a) and (c)." *Jennings*, 583 U.S. at 288-89.

12. Section 236 of the INA provides in relevant part as follows:

> (a) Arrest, Detention, and Release. On a warrant issued by the Attorney General, *an alien may be arrested and detained pending a decision on whether the alien is to be removed from the United States.* Except as provided in subsection (c) and pending such decision, *the Attorney General—*
>
> (1) *may continue to detain the arrested alien*; and
>
> (2) *may release the alien* on—
>
> (A) bond of at least $1,500 with security approved by, and containing conditions prescribed by, the Attorney General; or
>
> (B) conditional parole ...

13. The Supreme Court has interpreted similar "may" langue in other provisions of the INA to require "some level of individualized determination." *I.N.S. v. Nat'l Ctr. For Immigrants' Rights*, 502 U.S. 183, 194 (1991). The regulation implementing Respondents' authority to arrest non-citizens present in the United States reads:

> *"Any officer authorized to issue a warrant of arrest may, in the officer's discretion*, *release an alien* not described in [8 U.S.C. §

> 1236(c)(1)] of the Act, under the conditions at section [8 U.S.C. § 1236(a)(2) and (3)]; *provided that the alien must demonstrate to the satisfaction of the officer that such release would not pose a danger to property or persons, and that the alien is likely to appear for any future proceeding."*
>
> 8 U.S.C. § 1236.1(c)(8).

14. Noncitizens may request a review of an initial custody determination before an Immigration Judge ("IJ"). 8 C.F.R. § 1236.1(d)(1); 8 C.F.R. § 1002.19(a). At this hearing an IJ may make the decision "upon any information that is available to the [Immigration Judge] or that is presented to him or her by the [non-citizen] or the [government]." 8 C.F.R. § 1003.19(d); *see also Matter of Guerra,* 24 I&N Dec. 37, 39 (BIA 2006). Non-citizens may appeal a negative decision in a custody review before an IJ to the Board of Immigration Appeals. 8 C.F.R. § 1236.1(d)(3)(i). The current statutory scheme was created through the Illegal Immigration Reform and Immigrant Responsibility Act of 1996 ("IIRIRA").

15. For the first time in nearly three decades, Respondents have taken the position through a series of precedential decisions by the Board of Immigration Appeals that non-citizens residing in the interior of the United States are not entitled to a custody redetermination (a "bond hearing") before an Immigration Judge.

16. First, in *Matter of Q. Li*, 29 I&N Dec. 66 (BIA 2025), the BIA held that a non-citizen who had been apprehended at the border and subsequently released into the United States is subject to mandatory detention without a possibility of bail upon re-detention, pursuant to 8 U.S.C. § 1225(b), even if that re-detention occurs years after their initial release from custody. The BIA reasoned that "an applicant for admission who is arrested and detained without a warrant while in the process of arriving in the United States, whether or not at a port of entry, and subsequently placed in removal proceedings is detained under section [1225(b)] [], and is ineligible for any subsequent release on bond under section [1226(a)]." *Q. Li*, 29 I&N Dec. at 74.

17. Then in *Matter of Yajure Hurtado*, 29 I&N Dec. 216 (BIA 2025), the BIA stated that all non-citizens who are present in the United States without admission are subject to mandatory detention under Section 1225(b), regardless of how long they have been residing in the U.S. and absent any prior interaction with immigration authorities.

FACTS AND PROCEDURAL HISTORY

18. Mr. Tisoy-David is a native of Venezuela.

19. Mr. Tisoy-David entered the United States on May 26, 2021 at the Texas Point of Entry and taken to Denver, Colorado where he was granted parole.

20. Mr. Tisoy-David settled in Telford, Pennsylvania where he was living before his incarceration.

21. Mr. Tisoy-David has submitted his I-589, application for asylum, has temporary protective status, and a Master's Hearing scheduled for May 7, 2026.

22. On April 23, 2026, Mr. Tisoy-David was detained two blocks from his residence, asked to exit his vehicle and taken into custody by ICE officials. Mr. Tisoy-David was transported to FDC, Philadelphia.

CLAIM FOR RELIEF
COUNT ONE

VIOLATION OF DUE PROCESS, U.S. CONST. AMEND. V

23. Petitioner repeats and incorporates by reference each and every allegation contained in the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

24. The Fifth Amendment's Due Process Clause prevents the Government from depriving any person of "life, liberty, or property, without due process of law." U.S. Const. amend. V.

25. The Due Process Clause extends to noncitizens residing in the United States, whether they have lawful status or not. *See Mathews v. Diaz*, 426 U.S. 67, 77 (1976); *Zadvydas v. Davis*, 533 U.S. 678, 693 (2001). Specifically, "[i]t is well established that the Fifth Amendment entitles [non-citizens] to due process of

law in deportation proceedings. *Reno v. Flores*, 507 U.S. 292, 306 (1993); *see also Abdulai v. Ashcroft*, 239 F.3d 542, 549 (3d Cir. 2001) ("[Non-citizens] facing removal are entitled to due process"); *Calderon-Rosas v. Atty' Gen.*, 957 F.3d 378, 386 (3d Cir. 2020) ("In sum, petitioners seeking discretionary relief are entitled to fundamentally fair removal proceedings, which constitutes a protected interest supporting a due process claim.").

26. Evaluating the adequacy of the process provided to a non-citizen requires a balancing of factors. "First, the private interest that will be affected by the official action; second, the risk of an erroneous deprivation of such interest through the procedures used, and the probable value, if any, of additional or substitute procedural safeguards; and finally, the Government's interest, including the function involved and the fiscal and administrative burdens that the additional or substitute procedural requirement would entail." *Mathews v. Eldridge*, 424 U.S. 319, 335 (1976).

27. First, Mr. Tisoy-David faces "the most significant liberty interest there is–the interest in being free from imprisonment." *Velasco Lopez v. Decker*, 978 F.3d 842, 851 (2d Cir. 2020) (citing *Hamdi v. Rumsfeld*, 542 U.S. 507, 529 (2004)). Second, Respondents have erroneously deprived Mr. Tisoy-David of his liberty without any individualized assessment of his circumstances. Third,

Respondents did not make any individualized finding that Mr. Tisoy-David was a danger or flight risk, so there does not appear to be a significant government interest in detaining Mr. Tisoy-David.

28. An application of these factors requires that Mr. Tisoy-David should have been provided with additional process before being detained.

COUNT TWO

VIOLATION OF THE IMMIGRATION AND NATIONALITY ACT, 8 U.S.C. § 1226(a)

29. Petitioner repeats and incorporates by reference each and every allegation contained in the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

30. The mandatory detention provision at 8 U.S.C. § 1225(b)(2) does not apply to all noncitizens residing in the United States who are subject to grounds of inadmissibility. As, relevant here, it does not apply to those who have been residing in the United States at liberty after being briefly detained at or near the border. Such noncitizens, if detained, are done so under § 1226(a), and are generally eligible release on bond.

31. Respondents' authority to detain Mr. Tisoy-David is derived from 8 U.S.C. § 1226(a) as Mr. Tisoy-David is already present in the United States.

32. Respondents have detained Mr. Tisoy-David without making an

individualized determination regarding whether he posed a danger or flight risk as required by 8 U.S.C. § 1226(a) and its regulations.

33. Moreover, Respondents' current policies as set forth in the BIA's decisions in *Matter of Q. Li* and *Matter of Yajure Hurtado* unlawfully prevent Mr. Tisoy-David from obtaining a custody redetermination in front of an Immigration Judge as is his right by statute.

COUNT THREE

VIOLATION OF THE BOND REGULATIONS, 8 C.F.R. §236.1, 1236.1 and 1003.19

34. Petitioner repeats and incorporates by reference each and every allegation contained in the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

35. In 1997, after Congress amended the INA through IIRIRA, EOIR the then-Immigration and Naturalization Service issued an interim rule to interpret and apply IIRIRA. Specifically, under the heading of "Apprehension, Custody, and Detention of [Non-citizens]," the agencies explained that "[d]espite being applicants for admission, [noncitizens] who are present without having been admitted or paroled (formerly referred to as [noncitizens] who entered without inspection) *will be eligible for bond and bond redetermination."* 62 Fed. Reg. at 10323 (emphasis added). Thus, the agencies made clear that non-citizens present in the United States would be eligible for consideration for bond and bond hearings before IJs under 8

U.S.C. § 1226 and its implementing regulations.

36. Yet, Respondents have adopted a policy and practice of applying § 1225(b)(2) to non-citizens like Mr. Tisoy-David who are present in the United States without being admitted or paroled.

37. The application of § 1225(b)(2) to Mr. Tisoy-David unlawfully mandates his continued detention in violation of 8 C.F.R. §§ 236.1, 1236.1, and 1003.19.

COUNT FOUR

VIOLATION OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE ACT ("APA"), 5 U.S.C. § 701, *et. seq.*

38. Petitioner repeats and incorporates by reference each and every allegation contained in the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

39. Mr. Tisoy-David is aggrieved by agency action under the Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. §§ 701 *et. seq.* Specifically, Respondents have acted arbitrarily in detaining Mr. Tisoy-David without conducting an individualized determination into his circumstances. In other words, Respondents have not presented any indication that Mr. Tisoy-David's circumstances have changed such that he is now a danger or flight risk in a way that he was not when he was admitted into the United States at the border on May 26, 2021.

40. Additionally, Respondents' recent policies announced through administrative decisions issued by the BIA unlawfully withhold the right to a bond hearing under 8 U.S.C. § 1226(a) to Mr. Tisoy-David.

41. These policies are arbitrary, capricious, and not in accordance with the text of the INA.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Petitioner prays that this Court grant the following relief:

1. Issue a Writ of Habeas Corpus ordering Respondents to release Petitioner from custody immediately on his own recognizance or under parole, bond or reasonable conditions of supervision, on the ground that his continued detention by the Department of Homeland Security violates his Due Process rights;

2. Set aside Respondents' unlawful detention policy contained *Matter of Q. Li* and *Matter of Yajure Hurtado* under the APA, 5 U.S.C. § 706(2), as contrary to law, arbitrary and capricious, and contrary to constitutional right;

3. Issue a writ requiring an immediate, constitutionally adequate hearing before an Immigration Judge, at which: (i) DHS bears the burden to demonstrate, by clear and convincing evidence, that Petitioner's continued

detention is necessary, and (ii) the immigration judge considers Petitioner's ability to pay a bond.

4. While this petition is pending, order Petitioner's immediate release pursuant to the Court's inherent authority to release habeas corpus petitioners on bail;

5. Enter a judgment declaring that Respondents' detention of Petitioner is unauthorized by statute and contrary to law and the U.S. Constitution;

6. Award Petitioner reasonable costs and attorneys' fees; and

7. Grant any further relief that this Court may deem fit and proper.

Dated: April 24, 2026

Respectfully submitted,

s/ Jose C. Campos, Esquire
Jose C. Campos (PA Bar 207646)
The Campos Firm
251 East Broad Street
Bethlehem, PA 18018
p. (610) 868-2230
jc@jccamposlaw.com
ATTORNEY FOR PETITIONER

LIST OF EXHIBITS

Exh. A. EOIR Case Automated Information

Exh. B. Federal Bureau of Prisons and ICE Detainee Case Locators

An official website of the United States government Here's how you know

EOIR | Automated Case Information

Court Closures Today April 24, 2026

Please check https://www.justice.gov/eoir-operational-status for up to date closures.

Home > **TISOY-DAVID, JOSUE JOEL ([redacted])** EXHIBIT "A"

Automated Case Information

Name: TISOY-DAVID, JOSUE JOEL | A-Number: [redacted] | Docket Date: 4/24/2026

Next Hearing Information

Your upcoming **MASTER** hearing is **INTERNET-BASED** on **May 7, 2026** at **9:00 AM**.

JUDGE
Panopoulos, Adam

WEBEX ADDRESS
HTTPS://EOIR.WEBEX.COM/MEET/IJ.ADAM.PANOPOUL

Court Decision and Motion Information

This case is pending.

BIA Case Information

No appeal was received for this case.

Court Contact Information

If you require further information regarding your case, or wish to file additional documents, please contact the immigration court.

COURT ADDRESS
625 EVANS STREET ROOM 148A

ELIZABETH, NJ 07201

PHONE NUMBER

(908) 787-1355

Official Website of the Department of Homeland Security

Report

Main Menu

< BACK TO RESULTS

Facility Page

EXHIBIT "B"

Detention Information For:

JOSUE JOEL TISOY-DAVID
Country of Birth: Venezuela
A-Number: [redacted]

Current Detention Facility:

Philadelphia Federal Detention Center
700 Arch Street
NA
Philadelphia, PA 19106
Visitor Information: (215) 521-4000

MORE INFORMATION >

ERO Office Information

Family members and legal representatives may be able to obtain additional information about this individual's case by contacting this ERO office:

PHILADELPHIA, PA, DOCKET CONTROL OFFICE
Phone Number: (215) 656-7164

BACK TO SEARCH >

Related Information

Helpful Info

Status of a Case

About the Detainee Locator

Brochure

ICE ERO Field Offices

ICE Detention Facilities

Privacy Notice

External Links

Bureau of Prisons Inmate Locator

JS 44 (Rev. 03/24)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. *(SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)*

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS

JOSUE JOEL TISOY-DAVID

(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Philadelphia County
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)

(c) Attorneys *(Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)*
Jose C. Campos, Esquire
251 E. Broad St.
Bethlehem, PA 18018

DEFENDANTS

J.L. JAMISON, BRIAN MCSHANE,TODD LYONS, SEC., U.S. DHS, and TODD BLANCHE, Act. Atty. Gen.

County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Philadelphia
(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)

NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.

Attorneys *(If Known)*

US Attorney's Office, Philadelphia, PA

II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION *(Place an "X" in One Box Only)*

- ☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff
- ☐ 3 Federal Question *(U.S. Government Not a Party)*
- ☒ 2 U.S. Government Defendant
- ☐ 4 Diversity *(Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)*

III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES *(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)*

(For Diversity Cases Only)

	PTF	DEF		PTF	DEF
Citizen of This State	☐ 1	☐ 1	Incorporated *or* Principal Place of Business In This State	☐ 4	☐ 4
Citizen of Another State	☐ 2	☐ 2	Incorporated *and* Principal Place of Business In Another State	☐ 5	☐ 5
Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	☐ 3	☐ 3	Foreign Nation	☐ 6	☐ 6

IV. NATURE OF SUIT *(Place an "X" in One Box Only)*

Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions.

CONTRACT
- ☐ 110 Insurance
- ☐ 120 Marine
- ☐ 130 Miller Act
- ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument
- ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment
- ☐ 151 Medicare Act
- ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans)
- ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits
- ☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits
- ☐ 190 Other Contract
- ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability
- ☐ 196 Franchise

REAL PROPERTY
- ☐ 210 Land Condemnation
- ☐ 220 Foreclosure
- ☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment
- ☐ 240 Torts to Land
- ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability
- ☐ 290 All Other Real Property

TORTS

PERSONAL INJURY
- ☐ 310 Airplane
- ☐ 315 Airplane Product Liability
- ☐ 320 Assault, Libel & Slander
- ☐ 330 Federal Employers' Liability
- ☐ 340 Marine
- ☐ 345 Marine Product Liability
- ☐ 350 Motor Vehicle
- ☐ 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability
- ☐ 360 Other Personal Injury
- ☐ 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice

PERSONAL INJURY
- ☐ 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability
- ☐ 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability
- ☐ 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability

PERSONAL PROPERTY
- ☐ 370 Other Fraud
- ☐ 371 Truth in Lending
- ☐ 380 Other Personal Property Damage
- ☐ 385 Property Damage Product Liability

CIVIL RIGHTS
- ☐ 440 Other Civil Rights
- ☐ 441 Voting
- ☐ 442 Employment
- ☐ 443 Housing/ Accommodations
- ☐ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment
- ☐ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other
- ☐ 448 Education

PRISONER PETITIONS

Habeas Corpus:
- ☒ 463 Alien Detainee
- ☐ 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence
- ☐ 530 General
- ☐ 535 Death Penalty

Other:
- ☐ 540 Mandamus & Other
- ☐ 550 Civil Rights
- ☐ 555 Prison Condition
- ☐ 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement

FORFEITURE/PENALTY
- ☐ 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881
- ☐ 690 Other

LABOR
- ☐ 710 Fair Labor Standards Act
- ☐ 720 Labor/Management Relations
- ☐ 740 Railway Labor Act
- ☐ 751 Family and Medical Leave Act
- ☐ 790 Other Labor Litigation
- ☐ 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act

IMMIGRATION
- ☐ 462 Naturalization Application
- ☐ 465 Other Immigration Actions

BANKRUPTCY
- ☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158
- ☐ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS
- ☐ 820 Copyrights
- ☐ 830 Patent
- ☐ 835 Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application
- ☐ 840 Trademark
- ☐ 880 Defend Trade Secrets Act of 2016

SOCIAL SECURITY
- ☐ 861 HIA (1395ff)
- ☐ 862 Black Lung (923)
- ☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))
- ☐ 864 SSID Title XVI
- ☐ 865 RSI (405(g))

FEDERAL TAX SUITS
- ☐ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)
- ☐ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609

OTHER STATUTES
- ☐ 375 False Claims Act
- ☐ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a))
- ☐ 400 State Reapportionment
- ☐ 410 Antitrust
- ☐ 430 Banks and Banking
- ☐ 450 Commerce
- ☐ 460 Deportation
- ☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations
- ☐ 480 Consumer Credit (15 USC 1681 or 1692)
- ☐ 485 Telephone Consumer Protection Act
- ☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV
- ☐ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange
- ☐ 890 Other Statutory Actions
- ☐ 891 Agricultural Acts
- ☐ 893 Environmental Matters
- ☐ 895 Freedom of Information Act
- ☐ 896 Arbitration
- ☐ 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision
- ☐ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes

V. ORIGIN *(Place an "X" in One Box Only)*

☒ 1 Original Proceeding ☐ 2 Removed from State Court ☐ 3 Remanded from Appellate Court ☐ 4 Reinstated or Reopened ☐ 5 Transferred from Another District *(specify)* ☐ 6 Multidistrict Litigation - Transfer ☐ 8 Multidistrict Litigation - Direct File

VI. CAUSE OF ACTION

Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing ***(Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity)***:
28 U.S.C. § 2241

Brief description of cause:
Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus

VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:

☐ CHECK IF THIS IS A **CLASS ACTION** UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.

DEMAND $

CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint:
JURY DEMAND: ☐ Yes ☐ No

VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY

(See instructions): JUDGE _____ DOCKET NUMBER _____

DATE
April 24, 2026

SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD
/s/ Jose C. Campos, Esquire

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

RECEIPT # _____ AMOUNT _____ APPLYING IFP _____ JUDGE _____ MAG. JUDGE _____

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

DESIGNATION FORM

Place of Accident, Incident, or Transaction: Federal Detention Center, Philadelphia PA

RELATED CASE IF ANY: Case Number:_____ Judge:_____

1. Does this case involve property included in an earlier numbered suit? Yes ☐
2. Does this case involve a transaction or occurrence which was the subject of an earlier numbered suit? Yes ☐
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent which was the subject of an earlier numbered suit? Yes ☐
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus petition, social security appeal, or pro se case filed by the same individual? Yes ☐
5. Is this case related to an earlier numbered suit even though none of the above categories apply? If yes, attach an explanation. Yes ☐

I certify that, to the best of my knowledge and belief, the within case ☐ **is** / ☑ **is not** related to any pending or previously terminated action in this court.

Civil Litigation Categories

A. Federal Question Cases:

- ☐ 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts)
- ☐ 2. FELA
- ☐ 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury
- ☐ 4. Antitrust
- ☐ 5. Wage and Hour Class Action/Collective Action
- ☐ 6. Patent
- ☐ 7. Copyright/Trademark
- ☐ 8. Employment
- ☐ 9. Labor-Management Relations
- ☐ 10. Civil Rights
- ☒ 11. Habeas Corpus
- ☐ 12. Securities Cases
- ☐ 13. Social Security Review Cases
- ☐ 14. Qui Tam Cases
- ☐ 15. Cases Seeking Systemic Relief ***see certification below***
- ☐ 16. All Other Federal Question Cases. *(Please specify)*:_____

B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:

- ☐ 1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts
- ☐ 2. Airplane Personal Injury
- ☐ 3. Assault, Defamation
- ☐ 4. Marine Personal Injury
- ☐ 5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury
- ☐ 6. Other Personal Injury (*Please specify*):_____
- ☐ 7. Products Liability
- ☐ 8. All Other Diversity Cases: *(Please specify)*_____

I certify that, to the best of my knowledge and belief, that the remedy sought in this case ☐ **does** / ☑ **does not** have implications beyond the parties before the court and ☐ **does** / ☑ **does not** seek to bar or mandate statewide or nationwide enforcement of a state or federal law including a rule, regulation, policy, or order of the executive branch or a state or federal agency, whether by declaratory judgment and/or any form of injunctive relief.

ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION (CHECK ONLY ONE BOX BELOW)

I certify that, to the best of my knowledge and belief:

☒ Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2(3), this case is not eligible for arbitration either because (1) it seeks relief other than money damages; (2) the money damages sought are in excess of $150,000 exclusive of interest and costs; (3) it is a social security case, includes a prisoner as a party, or alleges a violation of a right secured by the U.S. Constitution, or (4) jurisdiction is based in whole or in part on 28 U.S.C. § 1343.

☐ None of the restrictions in Local Civil Rule 53.2 apply and this case is eligible for arbitration.

NOTE: A trial de novo will be by jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

JOSUE JOEL TISOY-DAVID Petitioner, v.	Case No. 26-cv-___ Before the Honorable _____
J.L. JAMISON, in his official capacity as Warden of Federal Detention Center, Philadelphia; BRIAN MCSHANE, in his official capacity as Acting Philadelphia Field Office Director, United States Immigration and Customs Enforcement; TODD LYONS, in his official capacity as Acting Director of Immigration and Customs Enforcement; MARKWAYNE MULLIN, in his official capacity as Secretary of the Department of Homeland Security; THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY; TODD BLANCHE, in his official capacity as Acting Attorney General of the United States Respondents.	

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Jose C. Campos, Esquire, attorney for the Petitioner, Josue Joel Tisoy-David, *hereby certify that on this 24th day of April 2026, I served a true copy of the* following documents by electronic filing and USPS first class mail upon the parties named below:

PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS, CIVIL COVER SHEET and CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

J.L. Jamison, Warden
Warden of Federal Detention Center
700 Arch Street
Philadelphia, PA 19106

Brian McShane
Acting Philadelphia Field Office Director
United States Immigration & Customs
114 N 8th Street
Philadelphia, PA 19107

Todd Lyons, Acting Director
Immigration and Customs Enforcement
500 12th St. SW
Washington, DC 20536

Markwayne Mullin, Secretary
Department of Homeland Security
245 Murray Lane
Washington, DC 20528

The US Department of Homeland Security
245 Murray Lane
Washington, DC 20528

Todd Blanche, Acting Attorney General
U.S. Department of Justice
950 Pennsylvania Avenue NW
Washington, DC 20530

United States Attorney for EDPA
desiree.wilkins@usdoj.gov,anthony.stjoseph@usdoj.gov, susan.becker@usdoj.gov, and gregory.david@usdoj.gov

Date: April 24, 2026

s/Jose C. Campos, Esq.

Jose C. Campos (PA Bar 207646)
The Campos Firm
251 East Broad Street
Bethlehem, PA 18018
p. (610) 868-2230
jc@jccamposlaw.com
ATTORNEY FOR PETITIONER