WILLIAN QUEVEDO / [redacted]
Name and Prisoner Number/Alien Registration Number

ELOY DETENTION CENTER
Place of Confinement

1705 EAST, HANNA ROAD
Mailing Address

ELOY, ARIZONA, 85131
City, State, Zip Code

(Failure to notify the Court of your change of address may result in dismissal of this action.)

FILED LODGED
RECEIVED COPY
APR 21 2026
CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF ARIZONA
BY DEPUTY

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

WILLIAN MIGUEL QUEVEDO LOPEZ,
(Full Name of Petitioner)

Petitioner,

v.

WARDEN, ELOY DETENTION CENTER, AND/OR DHS-ICE
(Name of Warden, Jailor or authorized person having custody of Petitioner)

Respondent.

CASE NO. CV26-02839-PHX-SPL--ASB
(To be supplied by the Clerk)

PETITION UNDER 28 U.S.C. § 2241
FOR A WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS
BY A PERSON IN FEDERAL CUSTODY

PETITION

1. What are you challenging in this petition?
   - ☒ Immigration detention
   - ☐ Bureau of Prisons sentence calculation or loss of good-time credits
   - ☐ Probation, parole or supervised release
   - ☐ Other (explain): _____

2. (a) Name and location of the agency or court that made the decision you are challenging: U.S IMMIGRATION AND CUSTOMS ENFORCEMENT (ICE) / ELOY IMMIGRATION COURT

   (b) Case or opinion number: [redacted]

   (c) Decision made by the agency or court: PROLONGED AND INDEFINITE IMMIGRATION DETENTION WITHOUT A CONSTITUTIONALLY ADEQUATE BOND HEARING AND REFUSAL TO GRANT ADMINISTRATIVE RELEASE DESPITE A STAY OF REMOVAL IN EFFECT, FEDERAL PRECEDENTS AND MY EVIDENCE.

   (d) Date of the decision: APRIL 3, 2026 (ICE ADMINISTRATIVE DENIAL), MARCH 30, 2026 (IJ BOND DENIAL)

THIS DOCUMENT IS NOT IN PROPER FORM ACCORDING TO FEDERAL AND/OR LOCAL RULES AND PRACTICES AND IS SUBJECT TO REJECTION BY THE COURT.

Revised 3/15/16 REFERENCE CIVIL 54

530

3. Did you appeal the decision to a higher agency or court? Yes ☒ No ☐

If yes, answer the following:

(a) First appeal:

(1) Name of the agency or court: BOARD OF IMMIGRATION APPEALS (BIA)

(2) Date you filed: FEBRUARY 13, 2026

(3) Opinion or case number: [redacted]

(4) Result: PENDING

(5) Date of result: PENDING

(6) Issues raised: THE IJ ERRED IN LAW BY GRANTING PRETERMISSION ON 01/20/26 WITHOUT CONSIDERING MY TESTIMONY OR EVIDENCE REGARDING POLITICAL PERSECUTION. IJ GRANTED DHS MOTION TO PRETERMIT MY APPLICATIONS.

Attach, if available, a copy of any brief filed on your behalf and a copy of the decision.

(b) Second appeal:

(1) Name of the agency or court: BOARD OF IMMIGRATION APPEALS (BIA)

(2) Date you filed: MARCH 2, 2026

(3) Opinion or case number: [redacted]

(4) Result: PENDING

(5) Date of result: PENDING

(6) Issues raised: VIOLATION OF DUE PROCESS; THE REMOVAL ORDER IS BASED ON A FLAWED PRETERMISSION OF UNDERLYING CLAIMS. (REMOVAL ORDER) DECISION OF FEBRUARY 03, 2026.

Attach, if available, a copy of any brief filed on your behalf and a copy of the decision.

(c) Third appeal:

(1) Name of the agency or court: BOARD OF IMMIGRATION APPEALS (BIA)

(2) Date you filed: I SENT THIS APPEAL ON APRIL 3, 2026. BUT RECEIPT NOT YET RECEIVED

(3) Opinion or case number: [redacted]

(4) Result: PENDING

(5) Date of result: PENDING

(6) Issues raised: ORAL DENIAL OF BOND (SECOND CUSTODY REDETERMINATION) THE IJ ERRED IN CONCLUDING A LACK OF JURISDICTION, FAILING TO CONDUCT AN INDIVIDUALIZED HEARING AS REQUIRED BY LAW. (DECISION OF MARCH 30, 2026).

Attach, if available, a copy of any brief filed on your behalf and a copy of the decision.

4. If you did not appeal the decision to a higher agency or court, explain why you did not: _____

5. Other than the appeals listed above, have you filed any other petitions, applications or motions concerning the issues raised in this petition? Yes ☒ No ☐

If yes, answer the following:

(a) Name of the agency or court: IMMIGRATION AND CUSTOMS ENFORCEMENT (ICE)

(b) Date you filed: APRIL 2, 2026

(c) Opinion or case number: [redacted]

(d) Result: DENIED VIA DIGITAL TABLET

(e) Date of result: APRIL 3, 2026

(f) Issues raised: I SUBMITTED A 58-PAGE EVIDENCE PACKAGE REQUESTING ADMINISTRATIVE BOND OR RELEASE, PROVING I AM NOT A FLIGHT RISK OR A DANGER. ICE RESPONDED THAT THEY WOULD ONLY EVALUATE THE CASE ONCE A HABEAS CORPUS WAS FILED.

Attach, if available, a copy of any brief filed on your behalf and a copy of the decision.

6. For this petition, **state every ground on which you claim that you are being held in violation of the Constitution, laws, or treaties of the United States.** Attach additional pages if you have more than four grounds. State the facts supporting each ground.

CAUTION: To proceed in the federal court, you must ordinarily first exhaust (use up) your available administrative remedies on each ground on which you request action by the federal court.

GROUND ONE: UNCONSTITUTIONAL PROLONGED DETENTION AND VIOLATION OF DUE PROCESS UNDER THE FIFTH AMENDMENT.

(a) Supporting FACTS (Do not argue or cite law. Just state the specific facts that support your claim.):
I HAVE BEEN IN ICE CUSTODY SINCE NOVEMBER 8, 2025. MY REMOVAL IS NOT CURRENTLY POSSIBLE BECAUSE I HAVE A STAY OF REMOVAL IN EFFECT; THEREFORE, MY DETENTION HAS NO END DATE. DURING MY HEARING ON MARCH 30, 2026, THE DHS DID NOT PROVIDE ANY ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE TO DEMONSTRATE THAT I AM A DANGER TO THE COMMUNITY OR A FLIGHT RISK. MY HISTORY IN THE U.S SHOWS THAT I AM RESPONSIBLE PERSON: I PARTICIPATED IN THE ISAP PROGRAM FOR 3-4 MONTHS WITH 100% COMPLIANCE, HAVE LIVED HERE FOR OVER THREE YEARS, AND FILED MY 2024 FEDERAL INCOME TAXES. I HAVE NO CRIMINAL RECORD. ALTHOUGH MY TPS WAS SUSPENDED DUE TO POLICY CHANGES, I HAVE ALWAYS FOLLOWED THE LAW. THIS PROLONGED CONFINEMENT HAS CAUSED ME TO LOSE WEIGHT AND I SUFFER FROM CONSTANT ANXIETY AND STRESS. MY CONTINUED DETENTION IS NO LONGER ABOUT IMMIGRATION RULES AND HAS BECOME A FORM OF PUNISHMENT.

(b) Did you exhaust all available administrative remedies relating to Ground One? Yes ☒ No ☐

(c) If yes, did you present the issue to:
- ☒ The Board of Immigration Appeals
- ☐ The Office of General Counsel
- ☐ The Parole Commission
- ☒ Other: ICE FIELD OFFICE / ELOY IMMIGRATION COURT.

(d) If you did not exhaust all available administrative remedies relating to Ground One, explain why:

GROUND TWO: PROCEDURAL IRREGULARITIES AND FAILURE TO EXERCISE JURISDICTION IN CUSTODY AND REMOVAL PROCEEDINGS.

(a) Supporting FACTS (Do not argue or cite law. Just state the specific facts that support your claim.):
ON JANUARY 20 AND FEBRUARY 3, 2026, THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE (IJ) GRANTED A MOTION TO PRETERMIT MY APPLICATIONS AND ISSUED A REMOVAL ORDER WITHOUT CONSIDERING MY TESTIMONY OR EVIDENCE REGARDING POLITICAL PERSECUTION IN MY HOME COUNTRY. REGARDING MY CUSTODY, I HAVE HAD TWO HEARINGS WHERE BOND WAS DENIED SOLELY FOR PERCEIVED LACK OF JURISDICTION. ON FEBRUARY 3, 2026, THE IJ EXPLICITLY STATED I DID NOT POSE A DANGER OR A FLIGHT RISK, YET DENIED BOND. ON MARCH 30, 2026, I REQUESTED A NEW HEARING AFTER FIVE MONTHS OF DETENTION AND PRESENTED A 69-PAGE EVIDENTIARY PACKAGE WHICH WAS NOT CONSIDERED AS THE IJ AGAIN DECLINED TO EXERCISE JURISDICTION. DURING THESE PROCEEDINGS, THE DHS PROVIDED NO NEW EVIDENCE OF DANGER, RELYING ONLY ON A RECORD FROM 2022 (ENTRY WITHOUT INSPECTION). ADDITIONALLY, I HAVE REQUESTED THE WRITTEN DECISIONS FOR THESE DENIALS TO PROPERLY PROCEED WITH MY APPEALS, BUT THEY HAVE NOT BEEN PROVIDED OR RECEIVED BY MAIL, WHICH HINDERS MY RIGHTS TO A MEANINGFUL ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW.

(b) Did you exhaust all available administrative remedies relating to Ground Two? Yes ☒ No ☐

(c) If yes, did you present the issue to:
- ☒ The Board of Immigration Appeals
- ☐ The Office of General Counsel
- ☐ The Parole Commission
- ☒ Other: ELOY IMMIGRATION COURT

(d) If you did not exhaust all available administrative remedies relating to Ground Two, explain why:

GROUND THREE: ADMINISTRATIVE BAD FAITH AND FAILURE TO PERFORM AN INDIVIDUALIZED CUSTODY REVIEW.

(a) Supporting FACTS (Do not argue or cite law. Just state the specific facts that support your claim.):
AS OF APRIL 2026, I HAVE BEEN IN CONTINUOUS CUSTODY FOR FIVE MONTHS AND AM APPROACHING THE 180-DAY THRESHOLD OF DETENTION. ON APRIL 2, 2026, I FORMALLY SUBMITTED A 58-PAGE EVIDENTIARY PACKAGE TO ICE REQUESTING ADMINISTRATIVE RELEASE/BOND, ON APRIL 3, 2026, I RECEIVED A SUMMARY RESECTION VIA DIGITAL TABLET STATING: "YOUR BOND WAS DENIED".. WE WILL WAIT FOR THE PROCEDURAL STEPS IN YOUR PRESENTATION", ON APRIL 4, 2026, I RECEIVED A SECOND MESSAGE STATING: "WE DO NOT PROVIDE DECISIONS ON TAITON, WAIT FOR THE COURTS," BY EXPLICITLY TELLING ME TO "WAIT FOR THE COURTS" WHILE IGNORING SUBSTANTIAL EVIDENCE OF MY COMMUNITY TIES, COMPLIANCE HISTORY AND FEDERAL PRECEDENT MALDONADO BAUTISTA, ICE HAS ABANDONED ITS RESPONSIBILITY TO PERFORM AN INDIVIDUALIZED REVIEW OF MY CASE. THE GOVERNMENT HAS FAILED TO PROVIDE ANY CONTEMPORARY EVIDENCE OF DANGER OR FLIGHT RISK TO JUSTIFY MY ONGOING DEPRIVATION OF LIBERTY.

(b) Did you exhaust all available administrative remedies relating to Ground Three? Yes ☒ No ☐

(c) If yes, did you present the issue to:
- ☐ The Board of Immigration Appeals
- ☐ The Office of General Counsel
- ☐ The Parole Commission
- ☒ Other: ICE FIELD OFFICE / ELOY DETENTION CENTER

(d) If you did not exhaust all available administrative remedies relating to Ground Three, explain why:

GROUND FOUR: SYSTEMIC VIOLATIONS OF DUE PROCESS AND FAILURE TO PROVIDE A FULL AND FAIR HEARING.

(a) Supporting FACTS (Do not argue or cite law. Just state the specific facts that support your claim.):
I WAS TAKEN INTO CUSTODY ON NOVEMBER 6, 2025, WHILE RETURNING HOME FROM MY WORK AS A AMAZON FLEX DRIVER, AND TRANSPERRED TO ELOY DETENTION CENTER ON NOVEMBER 8, 2025. ON JANUARY 20, 2026, THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE (IJ) GRANTED A MOTION TO PRETERMIT MY ASYLUM CLAIMS. WITHOUT A FULL HEARING. ON FEBRUARY 3, 2026, THE IJ ISSUED A REMOVAL ORDER BASED ON THAT PRETERMISSION; ADDITIONALLY, ON THAT SAME DAY. THE IJ HELD A CUSTODY HEARING WHERE STATED I WAS NOT A DANGER OR FLIGHT RISK, YET DENIED BOND FOR "LACK OF JURISDICTION" IGNORING FEDERAL PRECEDENT MALDONADO BAUTISTA. FOLLOWING THESE EVENTS, I FILED MY FIRST APPEAL TO THE BIA ON FEBRUARY 13, 2026, AND MY SECOND APPEAL ON MARCH 2, 2026. ON MARCH 30, 2026, DURING A SECOND BOND HEARING, DHS ADMITTED THEY HAD NO NEW EVIDENCE AGAINST ME, YET THE IJ AGAIN DENIED BOND AND HAS SINCE FAILED TO PROVIDE A WRITTEN DECISION. FINALLY, ON APRIL 2, 2026, I REQUESTED BOND/RELEASE FROM ICE, BUT ON APRIL 3 AND 4 I RECEIVED RESPONSES VIA DIGITAL TABLET TELLING ME TO "WAIT FOR THE COURTS." THESE ACTIONS COLLECTIVELY DEMONSTRATE A FAILURE TO PROVIDE THE INDIVIDUALIZED REVIEW REQUIRED BY LAW.

(b) Did you exhaust all available administrative remedies relating to Ground Four? Yes ☒ No ☐

(c) If yes, did you present the issue to:
- ☒ The Board of Immigration Appeals
- ☐ The Office of General Counsel
- ☐ The Parole Commission
- ☒ Other: ICE FIELD OFFICE / ELOY IMMIGRATION COURT

(d) If you did not exhaust all available administrative remedies relating to Ground Four, explain why:

Please answer these additional questions about this petition:

7. Are you challenging your conviction or sentence in any of the grounds raised above? Yes ☐ No ☒
(Claims challenging a federal conviction or sentence may only be raised in a motion under 28 U.S.C. § 2255, unless the § 2255 motion is legally inadequate or ineffective.)

If yes, answer the following:

(a) Have you filed a motion under 28 U.S.C. § 2255? Yes ☐ No ☒

If yes, answer the following:

(1) Name of court: _____

(2) Case number: _____

(3) Opinion or case number: _____

(4) Result: _____

(5) Date of result: _____

(6) Issues raised: _____

Attach, if available, a copy of any brief filed on your behalf and a copy of the decision.

(b) Explain why the remedy under § 2255 is inadequate or ineffective: _____

8. If this case concerns immigration removal proceedings, answer the following:

(a) Date you were taken into immigration custody: NOVEMBER 8, 2026

(b) Date of removal or reinstatement order: FEBRUARY 3, 2026.

(c) Did you file an appeal with the Board of Immigration Appeals? Yes ☒ No ☐

(1) Date you filed: FEBRUARY 13, 2026; MARCH 2, 2026; APRIL 3, 2026 (BOND APPEAL).

(2) Case number: [redacted]

(3) Result: ALL APPEALS ARE CURRENTLY PENDING

(4) Date of result: STILL AWAITING DECISIONS

(5) Issues raised: DUE PROCESS VIOLATIONS, IMPROPER PRETERMISSION OF ASYLUM CLAIMS, LACK OF JURISDICTION IN BOND HEARINGS, FAILURE TO CONSIDER EVIDENCE, POLITICAL PERSECUTION, COMPLIANCE AND COMMUNITY TIES.

Attach, if available, a copy of any brief filed on your behalf and a copy of the decision.

(d) Did you file an appeal with the federal court of appeals? Yes ☐ No ☒

(1) Name of the court: _____

(2) Date you filed: _____

(3) Case number: _____

(4) Result: _____

(5) Date of result: _____

(6) Issues raised: _____

Attach, if available, a copy of any brief filed on your behalf and a copy of the decision.

9. Petitioner asks that the Court grant the following relief: ORDER MY IMMEDIATE RELEASE FROM CUSTODY UNDER REASONABLE CONDITIONS (SUCH ISAP). ALTERNATIVELY, ORDER A NEW BOND HEARING WHERE THE GOVERNMENT CARRIES THE BURDEN OF PROOF, AS MY CONTINUED DETENTION IS INDEFINITE AND VIOLATES MY DUE PROCESS RIGHTS.

or any other relief to which Petitioner may be entitled. (Money damages are not available in habeas corpus cases.)

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct and that this Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus was placed in the prison mailing system on APRIL 15, 2026 (month, day, year).

William M. Quevedo
Signature of Petitioner

04/15/2026
Date

Signature of attorney, if any