UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO

FILED
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DENVER, COLORADO
APR -2 2026
JEFFREY P. COLWELL
CLERK

—

Maruf Abdurashidov,
[redacted]
Petitioner,

v.

Warden, Aurora ICE Processing Center,
U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE),
Respondents.

—

PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS
UNDER 28 U.S.C. § 2241

—

I, Maruf Abdurashidov, respectfully submit this Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus.

—

I. INTRODUCTION

I am challenging the legality of my immigration detention. I have been detained for more than three months while I am actively trying to reopen my immigration case. My continued detention under these circumstances raises serious due process concerns.

—

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

This Court has jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 2241. I am detained within this District at Aurora ICE Processing Center in Aurora, Colorado. Venue is proper in this Court.

—

III. PARTIES

Petitioner:
Maruf Abdurashidov
[redacted]

Respondents:
Warden
Aurora ICE Processing Center
3130 North Oakland Street
Aurora, CO 80010

U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE)

—

IV. STATEMENT OF FACTS

1. I am currently detained by ICE at Aurora ICE Processing Center.
2. I have been detained for more than three months.
3. An Immigration Judge entered a voluntary departure order in my case.
4. That request was made by my prior attorney without my informed and voluntary consent.
5. I did not knowingly agree to voluntary departure and I was not properly advised of the consequences.
6. I have filed a Motion to Reopen based in part on ineffective assistance of counsel, and it is still pending.
7. Because my case is still active, my removal is not imminent.
8. My continued detention during this time is not reasonably related to removal.

—

V. LEGAL STANDARD

This Court has jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 2241.

In Jennings v. Rodriguez, the Supreme Court confirmed that constitutional challenges to detention may be raised through habeas corpus.

Under due process principles, civil detention must be reasonably related to its purpose and must not become excessive.

—

VI. GROUNDS FOR RELIEF

GROUND ONE: DETENTION DURING PENDING MOTION TO REOPEN

I am actively pursuing reopening of my immigration case. My continued detention during this time is not reasonably related to removal.

GROUND TWO: INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL

My prior attorney requested voluntary departure without my informed consent. This deprived me of a meaningful opportunity to present my case and violated my due process rights.

I am currently challenging this through a Motion to Reopen.

GROUND THREE: LACK OF MEANINGFUL CUSTODY REVIEW

I have not received a meaningful custody determination before a neutral decision-maker.

—

VII. REQUEST FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, I respectfully request that this Court:

1. Grant my Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus;
2. Order Respondents to provide me with a prompt individualized custody or bond hearing;
3. Alternatively, order my release under appropriate conditions;
4. Grant any other relief the Court deems just and proper.

—

Respectfully submitted,

Maruf Abdurashidov

[redacted]

Date: 03/27/2026