UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
SAN ANTONIO DIVISION

Helen Katerin Guedez-Anez,

Petitioner,

-v-

Todd M. Lyons, Acting Director of US ICE; Miguel Vergara, San Antonio Field Office Director, US Immigration and Customs Enforcement; Daren K. Margolin, Director of the Executive Office for Immigration Review; Warden, Dilley Immigration Processing Center,

Respondents.

Case No: 5:26-cv-230

Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus Under 28 U.S.C. § 2241

INTRODUCTION

Petitioner Helen Katerin Guedez-Anez is an asylum seeker from Venezuela who was unlawfully detained by Respondents on October 12, 2025. Respondents detained her without due process or warrant. Her detention violates the Immigration and Nationality Act as well as her Fifth Amendment Due Process rights. *See* 8 U.S.C. § 1226(a). Without intervention by this Court, Petitioner will be subject to indefinite detention. She has been deemed ineligible for bond under recent precedent of the Board of Immigration Appeals. There is no other avenue for Petitioner to be released from her unlawful detention. For these reasons, she prays that this Court will grant her release.

JURISDICTION

1. This action arises under the Constitution of the United States and the Immigration and Nationality Act (INA), 8 U.S.C. § 1101 et seq.
2. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 (habeas corpus), 28 U.S.C. § 1331 (federal question), and Article I, § 9, cl. 2 of the United States Constitution (Suspension Clause).
3. This Court has jurisdiction over the claims asserted in this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331, 28 U.S.C. § 1361, and 28 U.S.C. § 1651 because Petitioner asks this Court to compel Respondents, officers of the United States, to perform their duties owed under 8 U.S.C. § 1226(a).
4. The jurisdiction of this Court is also invoked pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201-02 which authorizes the issuance of declaratory judgments.
5. This Court may grant relief under the habeas corpus statutes, 28 U.S.C. § 2241 et seq., the Declaratory Judgment Act, 28 U.S.C. § 2201 et seq., and the All Writs Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1651.

VENUE

6. Venue is proper in this District because Petitioner is detained at the Dilley Immigration Processing Center located at 300 El Rancho Way, Dilley, TX 78017, which is within the jurisdiction of this District.
7. Venue is proper in this District because Respondents are officers, employees, or agencies of the United States and a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to Petitioner's claims occurred in this District.

PARTIES

8. Petitioner is an applicant for asylum who is being detained at the Dilley Immigration Processing Center located at 300 El Rancho Way, Dilley, TX 78017. She was assigned alien number A [redacted] Petitioner is under the direct control and in the custody of Respondents and their agents.

9. Respondent Todd M. Lyons is the Acting Director of US ICE. Respondent is the legal custodian of Petitioner and has the direct authority to release Petitioner. Todd M. Lyons is sued in his official capacity.

10. Respondent Miguel Vergara is the San Antonio Field Office Director of US Immigration and Customs Enforcement. Respondent is the legal custodian of Petitioner and has the direct authority to release Petitioner. Miguel Vergara is sued in his official capacity.

11. Respondent Daren K. Margolin is the Acting Director of the Executive Office for Immigration Review (EOIR). He has the legal authority to order an immigration judge to undertake any actions which this Court may order.

12. The Warden of the Dilley Immigration Processing Center is sued as the direct custodian of Petitioner. The Warden's name is not publicly listed on any materials that were available at the time of filing. The Warden is sued in his or her official capacity.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

13. Petitioner entered the United States seeking asylum on December 11, 2021, and was assigned alien number A[redacted]. *See* Exhibit A.

14. Petitioner entered the United States without inspection or admission and was immediately detained by US Customs and Border Patrol agents. *See id.* She was released on her own recognizance pursuant to Section 236 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (8 U.S.C. § 1226). *See* Exhibit B.

15. Rather than being detained for expedited removal proceedings, Petitioner was released and placed into full removal proceedings under Section 240 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (8 U.S.C. § 1255). *See* Exhibit A. This permits her to pursue any applications for relief that might entitle her to remain in the United States.

16. Petitioner's immigration proceedings take place within the immigration courts administered by EOIR. Petitioner has applied for asylum and is permitted to remain in the United States until a final determination has been made on her application.

17. Despite her pending relief and release on her own recognizance, Petitioner was detained by US Immigration and Customs Enforcement officers on or around October 12, 2025. She was unlawfully arrested without a warrant in violation of 8 U.S.C. § 1226(a). Respondents did not undertake *any* legal process before detaining her.

18. Petitioner continues to be unlawfully detained by Respondents. *See* Exhibit C.

CLAIMS FOR RELIEF

COUNT I

Violation of Fifth Amendment Right to Due Process

19. The above paragraphs are realleged and incorporated herein.

20. The Department of Homeland Security has unlawfully detained Petitioner under "mandatory detention" authority reserved for aliens who are in the process of entering the United States, 8 U.S.C. § 1225.

21. This section of the Immigration and Nationality Act is reserved for individuals arriving in the United States. Petitioner was not arriving in the United States at the time of her detention; she had been in the United States for more than three years.

22. Petitioner's detention violated the Immigration and Nationality Act and her due process rights because she was detained without "a warrant issued by the Attorney General" as required by Section 236(a) of the Immigration and Nationality Act.

23. Having been unlawfully detained, Petitioner requests the only appropriate relief from this Court: "*release* from unlawful detention". *Dep't of Homeland Sec. v. Thuraissigiam*, 591 U.S. 103, 107 (2020).

24. There is no other avenue for release that Petitioner can seek. Respondents have determined that all individuals like Petitioner are ineligible for bond and must be detained. The Executive Office for Immigration Review has issued precedential decisions holding that immigration judges unequivocally have no jurisdiction to entertain granting bond in Petitioner's exact circumstances. *See, e.g., Matter of Yajure Hurtado*, 29 I&N Dec. 216 (BIA 2025).

25. Petitioner's warrantless arrest and continued detention are violations of her rights under the Fifth Amendment of the US Constitution.

COUNT II

Violation of Petitioner's Order of Release

26. The allegations in the above paragraphs are realleged and incorporated herein.

27. Regulations at 8 CFR § 236.1(c)(9) and (g) require specific procedures to release or revoke the release of an individual. This regulation also limits the authority to make these decisions to specific enumerated officers.

28. At the time Petitioner was detained, Petitioner's release on her own recognizance had not been lawfully revoked.

29. Respondents violated the provisions at 8 CFR § 236.1(c)(9) because they did not make individualized findings specific to Petitioner's circumstances.

30. Respondents violated the provisions at 8 CFR § 236.1(c)(9) because Petitioner's custody determination was not rendered by one of the specified officers.

31. Petitioner's detention is unlawful because the Department of Homeland Security violated the regulations and procedures at 8 CFR § 236.1(c)(9).

32. This unlawful detention and violation of existing regulations also violates the Administrative Procedure Act. *See* 5 U.S.C. § 706(2).

33. Petitioner requests release from detention because she has a valid order of release on her own recognizance which has not been revoked.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Petitioner respectfully asks that the Court:

A. Assume jurisdiction over this matter;

B. Issue an Order to Show Cause ordering Respondents to show cause why this Petition should not be granted within three days;

C. Order that that Respondents not transfer Petitioner from this District of the Western District of Texas during the pendency of this matter;

D. Order that Respondents not remove Petitioner from the United States until this matter has concluded;

E. Order that Respondents immediately release Petitioner from detention under the same conditions of release that she had prior to her unlawful detention;

F. Order that Respondents return all personal property that was confiscated from Petitioner at the time of the unlawful detention; and

G. Grant any further relief this Court deems just and proper.

VERIFICATION

On Petitioner's behalf, I, Joseph Krebs Muller, verify that the factual statements made in the foregoing Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus are true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Joseph Krebs Muller

Joseph Krebs Muller
Counsel for Petitioner
Bar: MO #65066, TX #24117397
Law Office of Joseph Muller
9600 Great Hills Trl. Ste. 150W
Austin, TX 78759
Email: joseph@jkmlaw.cc
Phone: (512) 593-8258
Fax: (512) 361-4938