

FILED
#1 PAID

FILED

2025 DEC 19 PM 12:18

U.S. DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DIST. OF CALIF.
LOS ANGELES

BY: myer

PRO-SE ON BEHALF OF
HIS MOTHER JHADITH SUZETTE LOPEZ SOLIS

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
LOS ANGELES DIVISION

Jhadith Suzette Lopez Solis,

Petitioner

Warden of Adelanto Detention Facility,
U.S. Immigration and Custom Enforcement
Jennifer B. Higgins, Acting/Director of
Los Angeles Field Office
Kristi Noem, Secretary of the U.S. Department of
Homeland Security; and
Pamela Jo Bondi, Attorney General of the
of the United States,
in their official capacities,

Respondents.

Case No. 5:25-cv-3455-CAS-PVC

PETITION FOR A WRIT OF HABEAS
CORPUS UNDER 28 U.S.C. § 2241

I, E [REDACTED], 17-year-old Senior High School student, respectfully petition this Court for a writ of habeas corpus on behalf of his mother, Jhadith Suzette Lopez Solis, A [REDACTED] who is currently detained at the Adelanto Detention Facility, Adelanto, California 93201 after being detained on December 16, 2025 at the U.S Immigration and Customs Enforcement office in downtown Los Angeles, California where she voluntarily attended a scheduled meeting.

1. Jurisdiction

This Court has jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 2241, as the petitioner is in custody under the authority of the United States.

2. Facts

Detention Details: Jhadith Suzette Lopez Solis was detained on December 16, 2025, by agents of the U.S Immigration and Customs Enforcement.

Reason for Detention: The Petitioner, whose Asylum application is still pending for 2-plus years, was attending a meeting scheduled by U.S Immigration and Customs Enforcement office as had happened on two other recent occasions. After a few hours during which Petitioner was told she would be wearing a bracelet, sometime later she was told she was being held for deportation.

Current Status: It is not known as the last communication from Petitioner was over the phone when she said she had been moved to a detention center, but the call was disconnected before she could give any more details.

3. Legal Grounds

GROUND 1. Unlawful Detention -- Petitioner has a pending asylum application based on credible fear of persecution or torture, documented by evidence. Petitioners attended each and every appointment set by the government agency, just as on December 16, 2025. She has complied with everything asked from her, to and including presenting evidence of her U.S Citizens son and daughter.

- A) **Supporting Facts.** While the Asylum application is pending, Petitioner is not illegal in this country; she was authorized to work and has established herself as a law-abiding resident. Furthermore, during the process of the meeting, Petitioner was told she would be wearing a bracelet. When she asked why the change in the handling since she has complied with everything, no illegal activity or anything to merit the drastic change adding the possible trauma it would cause to her minor children who would be visiting for the holidays, the answer was "the new supervisor made the decision" based on the President's orders [not the merits of the case].

GROUND 2: Improper Application of Immigration Laws. At some point prior to the use of force*, Petitioner was told that she had been deported before, which is not true. Petitioner had a tourist visa which was cancelled during her last trip, May 22, 2022 when she was DENIED entry at LAX and USCIS forced Delta Airlines to take Petitioner back to Costa Rica - where Petitioner had legally traveled from -- despite the Airline Rep rightly arguing with the U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement officer that the Petitioner needed a visa to enter Costa Rica.

- A) **Supporting Facts:** U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement has erroneously/illegally treated that event as deportation -- Delta Airlines **under apparent duress**, took Petitioner back to Costa Rica, and being liable for Petitioner's wellbeing, days later arranged for Petitioner to be flown back to Nicaragua through Avianca

Airlines via San Salvador. The Petitioner, fearing for her life, later in 2022, traveled North and surrendered herself at the U.S. southern border, just like thousands of Nicaraguans did. She was allowed entry and applied for Asylum, then while assembling documents needed, she discovered she was listed as being previously in deportation proceedings and had been "deported" from a place she has never been. Petitioner, utilizing the Freedom of Information Act, was able to verify the error/improper application of the denial of entry treated as deportation. She contacted USCIS and asked for the record to be corrected but it is now obvious it was never corrected.

GROUND 3: Lack of Due Process. During her detention she argued her asylum application was pending and desperately asking not to be sent back to Nicaragua fearing for her life, at some point she pleaded to let her stay and at least get a decision on her ending asylum application. Petitioner refused to sign anything to that effect of agreeing to be deported, then three or four officers tackled her, forcibly bending her arm to get her fingerprints despite her crying and begging.

A) **Supporting facts.** Ignoring Petitioner's appeals, that she is not illegally here while her asylum application is pending and ignoring her assertion that their record is wrong, that she has never been deported, rather than at least look at the evidence, the officers proceeded to use physical force is a obvious violation of Petitioner due process.


4. Request for Relief

Petitioner respectfully requests that this Court grant the following relief:

- 1) An order directing the Petitioner to not be shipped away while the Court has a chance to review the merits of Petitioner's immigration status.
- 2) An order directing the immediate release of the Petitioner from unlawful detention,
- 3) An order to U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement for the correction of the improper application of Immigration Laws as it is now proven it causes unjust treatment and harm to the Petitioner.
- 4) Any other relief the Court deems just and proper."

5. Verification

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and beliefs.


Son of Jhadith Suzette Lopez Solis

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
#4
CIVIL COVER SHEET**I. (a) PLAINTIFFS** (Check box if you are representing yourself ☒)

Jhadith Suzette Lopez Solis

DEFENDANTS (Check box if you are representing yourself ☐)U.S Immigration and Custom Enforcement, Jennifer B. Higgins, Acting Director of
Los Angeles Fiel Office U.S Immigration**(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff**

(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)

County of Residence of First Listed Defendant

(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)

(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address and Telephone Number) If you are representing yourself, provide the same information.

Jhadith Suzzette Lopez Solis, (747-308-6020)

Attorneys (Firm Name, Address and Telephone Number) If you are representing yourself, provide the same information.**II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION** (Place an X in one box only.)

- ☐ 1. U.S. Government Plaintiff
- ☐ 3. Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)
- ☒ 2. U.S. Government Defendant
- ☐ 4. Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)

III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES-For Diversity Cases Only
(Place an X in one box for plaintiff and one for defendant)

- | | PTF | DEF | | PTF | DEF |
|---|---------------------------------------|----------------------------|---|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| Citizen of This State | ☐ 1 | ☐ 1 | Incorporated or Principal Place of Business in this State | ☐ 4 | ☐ 4 |
| Citizen of Another State | ☐ 2 | ☐ 2 | Incorporated and Principal Place of Business in Another State | ☐ 5 | ☐ 5 |
| Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country | ☒ 3 | ☐ 3 | Foreign Nation | ☐ 6 | ☐ 6 |

IV. ORIGIN (Place an X in one box only.)

- ☒ 1. Original Proceeding ☐ 2. Removed from State Court ☐ 3. Remanded from Appellate Court ☐ 4. Reinstated or Reopened ☐ 5. Transferred from Another District (Specify) ☐ 6. Multidistrict Litigation - Transfer ☐ 8. Multidistrict Litigation - Direct File

V. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: JURY DEMAND: ☐ Yes ☒ No (Check "Yes" only if demanded in complaint.)**CLASS ACTION under F.R.Cv.P. 23:** ☐ Yes ☒ No **MONEY DEMANDED IN COMPLAINT: \$****VI. CAUSE OF ACTION** (Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing and write a brief statement of cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity.)
28 U.S.C § 2241**VII. NATURE OF SUIT** (Place an X in one box only.)

OTHER STATUTES	CONTRACT	REAL PROPERTY CONT.	IMMIGRATION	PRISONER PETITIONS	PROPERTY RIGHTS
☐ 375 False Claims Act	☐ 110 Insurance	☐ 240 Torts to Land	☐ 462 Naturalization Application	Habeas Corpus:	☐ 820 Copyrights
☐ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a))	☐ 120 Marine	☐ 245 Tort Product Liability	☐ 465 Other Immigration Actions	☒ 463 Alien Detainee	☐ 830 Patent
☐ 400 State Reapportionment	☐ 130 Miller Act	☐ 290 All Other Real Property	TORTS	☐ 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence	☐ 835 Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application
☐ 410 Antitrust	☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL PROPERTY	☐ 530 General	☐ 840 Trademark
☐ 430 Banks and Banking	☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment	☐ 310 Airplane	☐ 370 Other Fraud	☐ 535 Death Penalty	☐ 880 Defend Trade Secrets Act of 2016 (DTSA)
☐ 450 Commerce/ICC Rates/Etc.	☐ 151 Medicare Act	☐ 315 Airplane Product Liability	☐ 371 Truth in Lending	Other:	SOCIAL SECURITY
☐ 460 Deportation	☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loan (Excl. Vet.)	☐ 320 Assault, Libel & Slander	☐ 380 Other Personal Property Damage	☐ 540 Mandamus/Other	☐ 861 HIA (1395ff)
☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced & Corrupt Org.	☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Vet. Benefits	☐ 330 Fed. Employers' Liability	☐ 385 Property Damage Product Liability	☐ 550 Civil Rights	☐ 862 Black Lung (923)
☐ 480 Consumer Credit	☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits	☐ 340 Marine	BANKRUPTCY	☐ 555 Prison Condition	☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405 (g))
☐ 485 Telephone Consumer Protection Act	☐ 190 Other Contract	☐ 345 Marine Product Liability	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158	☐ 560 Civil Detainee Conditions of Confinement	☐ 864 SSID Title XVI
☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV	☐ 195 Contract Product Liability	☐ 350 Motor Vehicle	☐ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	☐ 865 RSI (405 (g))
☐ 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange	☐ 196 Franchise	☐ 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability	CIVIL RIGHTS	☐ 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881	FEDERAL TAX SUITS
☐ 890 Other Statutory Actions	REAL PROPERTY	☐ 360 Other Personal Injury	☐ 440 Other Civil Rights	☐ 690 Other	☐ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)
☐ 891 Agricultural Acts	☐ 210 Land Condemnation	☐ 362 Personal Injury-Med Malpractice	☐ 441 Voting	LABOR	☐ 871 IRS-Third Party 26 USC 7609
☐ 893 Environmental Matters	☐ 220 Foreclosure	☐ 365 Personal Injury-Product Liability	☐ 442 Employment	☐ 710 Fair Labor Standards Act	
☐ 895 Freedom of Info. Act	☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	☐ 367 Health Care/Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability	☐ 443 Housing/Accommodations	☐ 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations	
☐ 896 Arbitration		☐ 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability	☐ 445 American with Disabilities-Employment	☐ 740 Railway Labor Act	
☐ 899 Admin. Procedures Act/Review of Appeal of Agency Decision			☐ 446 American with Disabilities-Other	☐ 751 Family and Medical Leave Act	
☐ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes			☐ 448 Education	☐ 790 Other Labor Litigation	
				☐ 791 Employee Ret. Inc. Security Act	

III. VENUE: Your answers to the questions below will determine the division of the Court to which this case will be initially assigned. This initial assignment is subject to change, in accordance with the Court's General Orders, upon review by the Court of your Complaint or Notice of Removal.

QUESTION A: Was this case removed from state court? ☐ Yes ☒ No "no," skip to Question B. If "yes," check the box to the right that applies, enter the corresponding division in response to Question E, below, and continue from there.	STATE CASE WAS PENDING IN THE COUNTY OF:		INITIAL DIVISION IN CACD IS:
	☐ Los Angeles, Ventura, Santa Barbara, or San Luis Obispo		Western
	☐ Orange		Southern
	☐ Riverside or San Bernardino		Eastern

QUESTION B: Is the United States, or one of its agencies or employees, a PLAINTIFF in this action? ☐ Yes ☒ No "no," skip to Question C. If "yes," answer Question B.1, at right.	B.1. Do 50% or more of the defendants who reside in the district reside in Orange Co? check one of the boxes to the right →	YES. Your case will initially be assigned to the Southern Division. ☐ Enter "Southern" in response to Question E, below, and continue from there.
		☐ NO. Continue to Question B.2.
	B.2. Do 50% or more of the defendants who reside in the district reside in Riverside and/or San Bernardino Counties? (Consider the two counties together.) check one of the boxes to the right →	YES. Your case will initially be assigned to the Eastern Division. ☐ Enter "Eastern" in response to Question E, below, and continue from there.
		NO. Your case will initially be assigned to the Western Division. ☐ Enter "Western" in response to Question E, below, and continue from there.

QUESTION C: Is the United States, or one of its agencies or employees, a DEFENDANT in this action? ☒ Yes ☐ No "no," skip to Question D. If "yes," answer Question C.1, at right.	C.1. Do 50% or more of the plaintiffs who reside in the district reside in Orange Co? check one of the boxes to the right →	YES. Your case will initially be assigned to the Southern Division. ☐ Enter "Southern" in response to Question E, below, and continue from there.
		☒ NO. Continue to Question C.2.
	C.2. Do 50% or more of the plaintiffs who reside in the district reside in Riverside and/or San Bernardino Counties? (Consider the two counties together.) check one of the boxes to the right →	YES. Your case will initially be assigned to the Eastern Division. ☐ Enter "Eastern" in response to Question E, below, and continue from there.
		NO. Your case will initially be assigned to the Western Division. ☒ Enter "Western" in response to Question E, below, and continue from there.

QUESTION D: Location of plaintiffs and defendants? Indicate the location(s) in which 50% or more of <i>plaintiffs who reside in this district</i> reside. (Check up to two boxes, or leave blank if none of these choices apply.) Indicate the location(s) in which 50% or more of <i>defendants who reside in this district</i> reside. (Check up to two boxes, or leave blank if none of these choices apply.)	A. Orange County	B. Riverside or San Bernardino County	C. Los Angeles, Ventura, Santa Barbara, or San Luis Obispo County
	☐	☐	☒
	☐	☐	☒

D.1. Is there at least one answer in Column A? ☐ Yes ☒ No If "yes," your case will initially be assigned to the SOUTHERN DIVISION. Enter "Southern" in response to Question E, below, and continue from there. If "no," go to question D2 to the right. →	D.2. Is there at least one answer in Column B? ☐ Yes ☒ No If "yes," your case will initially be assigned to the EASTERN DIVISION. Enter "Eastern" in response to Question E, below. If "no," your case will be assigned to the WESTERN DIVISION. Enter "Western" in response to Question E, below. ↓
---	---

QUESTION E: Initial Division? Enter the initial division determined by Question A, B, C, or D above: →	INITIAL DIVISION IN CACD WESTERN
--	---

QUESTION F: Northern Counties? Do 50% or more of plaintiffs or defendants in this district reside in Ventura, Santa Barbara, or San Luis Obispo counties? ☐ Yes ☒ No

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
#6
CIVIL COVER SHEET**IX(a). IDENTICAL CASES:** Has this action been previously filed in this court?☒ NO☐ YES

If yes, list case number(s): _____

IX(b). RELATED CASES: Is this case related (as defined below) to any civil or criminal case(s) previously filed in this court?☒ NO☐ YES

If yes, list case number(s): _____

If yes, you must file a Notice of Related Cases. See Local Rule 83-1.3.**Civil cases** are related when they (check all that apply):

- ☐ A. Arise from the same or a closely related transaction, happening, or event;
- ☐ B. Call for determination of the same or substantially related or similar questions of law and fact; or
- ☐ C. For other reasons would entail substantial duplication of labor if heard by different judges.

Note: That cases may involve the same patent, trademark, or copyright is not, in itself, sufficient to deem cases related.

A civil forfeiture case and a criminal case are related when they (check all that apply):

- ☐ A. Arise from the same or a closely related transaction, happening, or event;
- ☐ B. Call for determination of the same or substantially related or similar questions of law and fact; or
- ☐ C. Involve one or more defendants from the criminal case in common and would entail substantial duplication of labor if heard by different judges.

X. STATEWIDE OR NATIONWIDE RELIEF: Does this case seek to bar or mandate enforcement of a state or federal law and seek declaratory or injunctive relief on a statewide or nationwide basis?☒ NO☐ YES**If yes, see Local Rule 83-11 for additional requirements.****XI. SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY****(OR SELF-REPRESENTED LITIGANT):***Jhadith Suzette Lopez Solis*

DATE: 12/18/2025

Notice to Counsel/Parties: The submission of this Civil Cover Sheet is required by Local Rule 3-1. This Form CV-71 and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. For more detailed instructions, see separate instruction sheet (CV-071A).

Key to Statistical codes relating to Social Security Cases:

Nature of Suit Code	Abbreviation	Substantive Statement of Cause of Action
861	HIA	All claims for health insurance benefits (Medicare) under Title 18, Part A, of the Social Security Act, as amended. Also, include claims by hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, etc., for certification as providers of services under the program. (42 U.S.C. 1935FF(b))
862	BL	All claims for "Black Lung" benefits under Title 4, Part B, of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969. (30 U.S.C. 923)
863	DIWC	All claims filed by insured workers for disability insurance benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended; plus all claims filed for child's insurance benefits based on disability. (42 U.S.C. 405 (g))
863	DIWW	All claims filed for widows or widowers insurance benefits based on disability under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. 405 (g))
864	SSID	All claims for supplemental security income payments based upon disability filed under Title 16 of the Social Security Act, as amended.
865	RSI	All claims for retirement (old age) and survivors benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. 405 (g))

#7
EXHIBIT C. PETITIONER'S REQUEST TO HAVE THE ERROENOUS INFORMATIO CORRECTED

Jhadith S. Lopez

Privacy Act Amendment
U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services
National Records Center FOIA/PA Office
P.O. Box 648010
Lee's Summit, MO 64064-8010

Ref: File No. A [REDACTED] Events Nos. [REDACTED] 05/24/2022
and ECT [REDACTED] 10/09/2022

To whom it may concern

Earlier this month of September current, I received from USCIS, the response to my request for records under the FOIAI. It shows Control Number [REDACTED], and it bears the name of Jarrod T Panter, Acting Chief FOIA Officer.

I am sending this request to correct errors some of which I find inexplicable and are very damaging, to the point that I believe justifies an expedited processing of this request to correct them as those errors have generated substantial loss of due process rights.

I will try to be as brief as possible. Trying to accomplish this, I am attaching some of the records where I have written comments or explanations which I hope will present a clear picture.

I am a citizen of Nicaragua and has visited this USA as tourist flying for convenience out of neighboring Costa Rica. Such was the case back on Tuesday, May 24, 2022 when I boarded Delta Airlines in San Jose, **arriving at LAX** around 1 p.m. It was a non-stop flight – copy of DELTA ticket is enclosed herewith.

***After several hours at the terminal, I was denied entry** as this was confirmed by an Officer who appeared to be a Supervisor who obviously was speaking to a Delta Airlines Rep over the speaker phone telling the Rep that **I was Delta's responsibility because I was not admitted**. And despite the fact that the Delta rep said they could not take me back because I did not have a visa (for Costa Rica), it was clear the US prevailed because a few hours later, I was escorted by two officers and boarded a Delta flight back to San Jose, Costa Rica, where **I was not allowed to enter the country and I had to remain detained for three days at the airport, until Delta was able to book a flight with another airline to take me to San Salvador, and eventually to Nicaragua**. Delta fulfilled the responsibility imposed on them by USCIS.

Piedras Negras, Mexican border, October 8, 2022 ,I am a small group approached the border and literally were greeted by men apparently US army or similar. They indicated to the group to form a line and wait for the Border Patrol and that they would indicate to us what to do. Some minutes later, that is exactly what happened. Following the instruction of border patrol members, we boarded a bus and were taken to another area, where they processed us. I was presented with documents to sign which I did though I thought I was being processed as so many hundreds of Nicaraguan receiving what is called Humanitarian Parole. That was my expectation and I believe it could have been granted to me, except for the error in the description of what had happened back in May.

I don't suppose this is the place to make any arguments ^{#8} and quite possible there will be no need to if the record is properly reviewed and justly corrected. In doing so, it could be established: 1) I could not have been deported if I was not admitted. 2) I could not have been deported to Costa Rica without first making arrangement with its government for admission. 3) If I was never physically in Ontario, California, I could not have been deported from there, and certainly, NOT deported even to Nicaragua without being properly processed.

I respectfully ask of you to please review or have the proper channel review, as I said before, in an expedited manner. Until then, the damage and due process issue will continue to the point such damage might not be amended.

Sincerely thankful,

Jhadith S. Lopez

*Note: For purpose of this letter, more details may be irrelevant.

Encls. Parts of the record received – pertinent to the request presented herein.

EXHIBITS TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. Page 2. Exhibit A. US Department of Homeland Security wrongly narrating the Petitioner was deported May 24, 2022, from Ontario Airport in California'
2. Page 3. Exhibit B. US Department of Homeland Security wrongly asserting its intent to Reinstate Prior Order
3. Page 4-5. Exhibit C. Petitioner initial attempt to have the erroneous record corrected.
4. Page 6. Exhibit D. U.S. Department of Homeland Security acknowledge receipt of Petitioner's pleas to have the record corrected.

JHADITH SUZETTE LOPEZ SOLIS	
(Name of alien(s) in proceedings)	
	
(A-Number of alien(s) in proceedings)	
CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	
On 12/19/2025	I, Carlos Jimenez
(date)	(printed name of person signing below)
served a copy of this	PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS
	(type of document)
and any attached pages to	ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE UNITED STATES
	U.S. Department of Justice
	(name of party served)
at the following address:	950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
	(address of party served)
	Washington, DC 20530-001
	(address of party served)
by:	CERTIFIED MAIL
	(method of service - for example, overnight courier, hand-delivery, first-class mail, ICE OPLA eService)
	12/19/2025
(signature)	(date)

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services
National Records Center
P.O. Box 648010
Lee's Summit, MO 64064-8010



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

Control Number:

October 5, 2023

JHADITH LOPEZ



Dear JHADITH LOPEZ:

This letter is in regard to your Freedom of Information Act request received on July 25, 2023.

Thank you for your correspondence dated September 16, 2023.

Through the FOIA process, we only provide copies of documents from your A-file.

If you have questions or wish to submit documentation relating to a matter with the bureau, you must address these issues with the nearest District Office.

Sincerely,

Jarrod T Panter
Acting Chief FOIA Officer
Freedom of Information Act & Privacy Act Unit

U.S. Department of Homeland Security

Continuation Page for Form 1213

Alien's Name LOPEZ SOLIS, JHADITH SUZETTE	File Number SIGMA Eve Event No:	Date May 25, 2022
--	---------------------------------------	----------------------

WINNETKA, CALIFORNIA, UNITED STATES OF AMERICA 91306

(b)(7)(E)

SCARS, MARKS, AND TATTOOS

None Visible - None visible.

CLAIMED DOCUMENTS

Visa -
Passport -

RECORDS CHECKED

(b)(7)(E)

SECTION CODES

Sec212(a)(7)(A)(i)(I)
8 USC 1182-ALIEN INADMISSIBILITY UNDER SEC 212(a)
8 USC 1225

At/Near

Delta Airlines did not fly from or to Nicaragua.

Los Angeles International Airport
Narrative:

Flight DL 1972: San Jose, Costa Rica to LAX

On May 24, 2022, subject, LOPEZ SOLIS, Jhadith Suzette, with date of birth [REDACTED] a female Citizen of Nicaragua, arrived and applied for admission to enter the United States at the Ontario International Airport on flight DL 1972 from Nicaragua and presented a passport from Nicaragua # [REDACTED]. LOPEZ SOLIS, Jhadith Suzette was referred to the Admissibility Review Unit for further processing.

(b)(7)(C)

A sworn statement was taken from the subject by CBPO [REDACTED] to which the LOPEZ SOLIS, Jhadith Suzette admitted to engaging in unauthorized employment in the United States. Subject stated that she was working in Los Angeles, CA cleaning houses. Subject worked one to five days a week, earning approximately \$80 USD per day in cash.

Subject LOPEZ SOLIS, Jhadith Suzette was found inadmissible into the United States pursuant to Section 212(a)(7)(A)(i)(I) of the INA for being an intending immigrant without an immigrant visa. Subject LOPEZ SOLIS, Jhadith Suzette was processed an Expedited Removal...I-860 was served, NIV cancelled, and she will be returned to her home country on next... (CONTINUED ON NEXT PAGE)

[REDACTED]	(b)(7)(C)	Title CBP OFFICER
------------	-----------	----------------------

Digitally Acquired Signature

2 of 3 Pages

U.S. Department of Homeland Security

Notice of Intent/Decision to Reinstate Prior Order

File No. [REDACTED]

Event No: [REDACTED]

Date: October 09, 2022

Name: JHADITH SUZETTE LOPEZ-SOLIS

In accordance with section 241(a)(5) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (Act) and 8 CFR 24.1.8, you are hereby notified that the Secretary of Homeland Security intends to reinstate the order of REMOVAL entered against you. This intent is based on the following determinations:
(Deportation / exclusion / removal)

1. You are an alien subject to a prior order of deportation / exclusion / removal entered on May 24, 2022 at ONTARIO, CALIFORNIA
(Date) (Location)

2. You have been identified as an alien who:

☒ was removed on May 24, 2022 pursuant to an order of deportation / exclusion / removal.
(Date)

☐ departed voluntarily on _____ pursuant to an order of deportation / exclusion / removal on or after the date on which such order took effect (i.e., who self-deported).
(Date)

3. You illegally reentered the United States on or about October 08, 2022 at or near EAGLE PASS, TEXAS
(Date) (Location)

In accordance with Section 241(a)(5) of the Act, you are removable as an alien who has illegally reentered the United States after having been previously removed or departed voluntarily while under an order of exclusion, deportation or removal and are therefore subject to removal by reinstatement of the prior order. You may contest this determination by making a written or oral statement to an immigration officer. You **do not** have a right to a hearing before an immigration judge.

The facts that formed the basis of this determination, and the existence of a right to make a written or oral statement contesting this determination, were communicated to the alien in the SPANISH language.

CARLOS NEIRA

(Printed or typed name of official)

CARLOS F NEIRA

Date: 2022.10.09 23:27:01 -05:00
0305119809.CBP

(Signature of officer)

BORDER PATROL AGENT

(Title of officer)

Acknowledgment and Response

I ☐ do ☒ do not wish to make a statement contesting this determination.

10/10/22
(Date)

JL
(Signature of Alien)

Decision, Order, and Officer's Certification

Having reviewed all available evidence, the administrative file and any statements made or submitted in rebuttal, I have determined that the above-named alien is subject to removal through reinstatement of the prior order, in accordance with section 241(a)(5) of the Act.

October 09, 2022
(Date)

EAGLE PASS, TEXAS
(Location)

PG
(Signature of authorized deciding official)

PHILIPPE GORGUE

(Printed or typed name of official)

Acting/Patrol Agent in Charge

(Title)

U.S. Department of Homeland Security

Warrant of Removal/Deportation

File No: 
Event No: 
Date: October 09, 2022

To any immigration officer of the United States Department of Homeland Security:

JHADITH SUZETTE LOPEZ-SOLIS

(Full name of alien)

who entered the United States at EAGLE PASS, TX on October 08, 2022
(Place of entry) (Date of entry)

is subject to removal/deportation from the United States, based upon a final order by:

- ☐ an immigration judge in exclusion, deportation, or removal proceedings
- ☒ a designated official
- ☐ the Board of Immigration Appeals
- ☐ a United States District or Magistrate Court Judge

and pursuant to the following provisions of the Immigration and Nationality Act:

Section 241 (a) (5) You are an alien who has illegally reentered the United States after having been previously removed. !

I, the undersigned officer of the United States, by virtue of the power and authority vested in the Secretary of Homeland Security under the laws of the United States and by his or her direction, command you to take into custody and remove from the United States the above-named alien, pursuant to law, at the expense of:

SALARIES AND EXPENSES DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY 2023.



PHILIPPE GORGUE

(Signature of immigration officer)

Acting/Patrol Agent in Charge

(Title of immigration officer)

October 09, 2022

Eagle Pass, Texas

(Date and office location)