UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL

Case No.	5:25-cv-03203-RGK-AJR	Date	December 30, 2025
Title	***Frisca Inyi Ramirez Clavijo v. Warden Adelanto Detention Facility et al.***		

Present: The Honorable	R. GARY KLAUSNER, UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
Joseph Remigio	Not Reported	N/A
Deputy Clerk	Court Reporter / Recorder	Tape No.
Attorneys Present for Plaintiff:	Attorneys Present for Defendant:	
Not Present	Not Present	

Proceedings: **(IN CHAMBERS) Order Re: Petitioner's *Ex Parte* Application for Temporary Restraining Order [DE 6]**

I. INTRODUCTION

On December 24, 2025, Frisca Inyi Ramirez Clavijo ("Petitioner") filed the present Application for Temporary Restraining Order ("Application") against Warden Adelanto Detention Facility, Ernesto Santacruz Jr., Kristi Noem, and Pam Bondi (collectively "Respondents"). Petitioner, a noncitizen who has been living in the United States, is in U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement ("ICE") custody.

Petitioner seeks the Court's order that Respondents immediately release her from custody, or in the alternative, that Respondents provide Petitioner a bond hearing within 48 hours. For the following reasons, the Court **DENIES** the application.

II. JUDICIAL STANDARD

To justify *ex parte* relief, the movant must show: (1) "why the . . . ultimate relief requested cannot be calendared in the usual manner[:]" and (2) that he is "without fault in creating the crisis that requires *ex parte* relief." *Mission Power Eng'g Co. v. Cont'l Cas. Co.*, 883 F. Supp. 488, 492 (C.D. Cal. 1995).

III. DISCUSSION

Petitioner seeks the Court's order requiring that she be released from detention immediately, or in the alternative, be provided with a bond hearing. However, Petitioner fails to meet the standard needed to justify *ex parte* relief.

Petitioner must show "why the accompanying proposed motion for the ultimate relief requested cannot be calendared in the usual manner. [T]he evidence must show that the moving party's cause will

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL

Case No. 5:25-cv-03203-RGK-AJR Date December 30, 2025

Title ***Frisca Inyi Ramirez Clavijo v. Warden Adelanto Detention Facility et al.***

be irreparably prejudiced if the underlying motion is heard according to regular noticed motion procedures." *Mission Power Eng'g Co. v. Cont'l Cas. Co.*, 883 F. Supp. at 492.

Petitioner fails to establish why her *Ex Parte* Application cannot be calendared in the usual manner. It is not clear to the Court why Petitioner, who has been in custody for more than three months, requires immediate action through the *ex parte* process to be granted release. Petitioner alleges no facts that specify why the Court *must* grant her relief by way of the current Application or risk irreparable prejudice should the merits of the Application be heard according to the regular noticed motion procedures. Accordingly, Petitioner fails to meet the first element of the *Mission Power* test, and *ex parte* relief is not justified.

IV. CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, Petitioner's Application is **DENIED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Initials of Preparer