

THE HONORABLE JAMAL N. WHITEHEAD
THE HONORABLE GRADY J. LEUPOLD

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

JOSE MIGUEL ARENADO-BORGES,	)	No. CV25-2193-JNW-GJL
Petitioner,	)	UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR
v.	)	EXPEDITED BRIEFING SCHEDULE
PAMELA BONDI, Attorney General of	)	AND REQUEST TO WITHDRAW
the United States, et al.,	)	REFERENCE TO MAGISTRATE
Respondents.	)	JUDGE
	)	Note on Motion Calendar:
	)	November 17, 2025

I. INTRODUCTION

In order to expedite a ruling by this Court, and to avoid multiple rounds of briefing on Jose Miguel Arenado-Borges's petition, the parties have consulted about the briefing schedule in this case and request that the Court modify the schedule as detailed below.

II. FACTUAL BACKGROUND

Mr. Arenado-Borges filed a habeas corpus petition on November 4, 2025. Dkt. 2. On November 6, 2025, Magistrate Judge Grady Leupold appointed the Federal Public Defender to represent Mr. Arenado-Borges. Dkt. 7. That same day, Magistrate Judge Leupold directed Respondents to file a return within 30 days to show cause why a writ of habeas corpus should not be granted, with Petitioner's response due within 21 days after the return, and Respondents' reply within 28 days of the return. Dkt. 9.

As matters stand, Mr. Arenado-Borges's petition is noted for no later than 28 days after the return is filed. After that, the parties would await a report and

1 recommendation, with any objections due within 14 days and any reply to the
2 objections due within another 14 days. In short, this matter would not be ripe for at least
3 six more weeks, plus time for issuance of an R&R, and the parties would have to
4 litigate the matter in a second round of briefing to the District Court through objections
5 to the R&R and reply to the objections.

6 **III. PROPOSED JOINT SCHEDULING**

7 In order to expedite resolution of this matter, Petitioner requests, and the
8 government does not oppose, that the Court withdraw the referral to Magistrate Judge
9 Leupold to expedite a final ruling by the Court. In addition, Respondents have further
10 agreed to file a return by November 24, 2025, and waive their right to a reply to
11 Petitioner's response. Petitioner's response would be due December 1, 2025. The
12 matter would then be noted for December 1, 2025.

13 This motion and request are modeled on orders issued in multiple cases in this
14 district, including in *Pham v. Bondi*, CV25-1835-JHC-SKV (Dkt. 10), *Tran v. Scott*,
15 CV25-1886-TMC-BAT (Dkt. 8), and *Hambarsonpour v. Bondi*, CV25-1802-RSM
16 (Dkt. 11), which expedited briefing and withdrew the reference to the Magistrate Judge.

17 Counsel has communicated with Kristin Berger Johnson, attorney for
18 Respondents, who does not oppose this motion and request.

19 DATED this 17th day of November 2025.

20 Respectfully submitted,

21 s/ *J. Leonardo Costales*
22 Assistant Federal Public Defender
23 Attorney for Jose Miguel Arenado-Borges

24 I certify this motion contains 371 words in compliance with the Local Civil Rules.
25
26