

1 BILAL A. ESSAYLI  
First Assistant United States Attorney  
2 DAVID M. HARRIS  
Assistant United States Attorney  
3 Chief, Civil Division  
DANIEL A. BECK (Cal. Bar No. 204496)  
4 Assistant United States Attorney  
Chief, Complex and Defensive Litigation Section  
5 Federal Building, Suite 7516  
300 North Los Angeles Street  
6 Los Angeles, California 90012  
Telephone: (213) 894-8974  
7 E-mail: Daniel.Beck@usdoj.gov

8 Attorneys for Federal Respondents

9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
10 FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
11

12 ENRIQUE MAYORAL,

13 Petitioner,

14 v.

15 TODD LYONS, Acting Direction,  
16 Immigration Customs and Enforcement,  
et al.,

17 Respondents.  
18

No. 2:25-cv-10459-SSS-AS

**FEDERAL RESPONDENTS'  
RESPONSE TO ORDER TO SHOW  
CAUSE RE: PRELIMINARY  
INJUNCTION**

Honorable Sunshine S. Sykes  
United States District Judge

**RESPONDENTS' OPPOSITION TO OSC RE: PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION**

On November 5, 2025, the Court issued an order [Dkt. 12] providing that the Respondents are enjoined from continuing to detain the Petitioner unless he is provided a bond hearing before an immigration judge pursuant to 8 U.S.C. § 1226(a) within seven days. The Court's order further required Respondents to show cause as to why a preliminary injunction should not issue. Respondents hereby show such cause.

Respondents scheduled Petitioner for a bond hearing on November 12, 2025. *See* Exhibit A hereto. Petitioner's counsel moved for a continuation of that bond hearing, citing a scheduling conflict. *See* Exhibit B hereto. The Immigration Court granted Petitioner's motion for a continuation, and scheduled Petitioner's bond hearing on November 14, 2025. *See* Exhibit C hereto.

Providing the Petitioner with the § 1226(a) bond hearing, or otherwise releasing them from detention, moots the requested preliminary injunction and this habeas petition more generally. *See, e.g., Javier Gonzales et al. v. Kristi Noem et al.*, 5:25-cv-02054-ODW-BFM, Dkt. no. 16 (August 25, 2025 minute order by Hon. Judge Wright, denying as moot the petitioners' pending request for a preliminary injunction given their receipt pursuant to a TRO of 1226(a) bond hearings and issuing the petitioners an order to show cause re: dismissal); Dkt. no. 17 (notice of voluntary dismissal); and Dkt. no. 18 (order dismissing petition). *See also* *Moises Salomon Zaragoza Mosqueda v. Kristi Noem et al.*, 5:25-cv-002304-CAS-BFM, Dkt. no. 15 (September 17, 2025 minute order by Hon. Judge Snyder, denying preliminary injunction and issuing OSC re dismissal for mootness given the petitioners' receipt of immigration bond hearings); Dkt. no. 16 (notice of voluntary dismissal); *Coc Tut v. Kristi Noem*, 5:25-cv-02701-DOC (October 30, 2025 order denying preliminary injunction as moot and issuing OSC re: dismissal where bond hearings were provided to detainees pursuant to TRO).

While Respondents continue to oppose the issuance of a preliminary injunction pursuant to the legal positions stated in the Respondents' brief in opposition to the Petitioner's *ex parte* application for a temporary restraining order, and the Respondents

1 maintain that those positions warrant denying a preliminary injunction on the merits, the  
2 issue is mooted in any event by the TRO's issuance and the subsequent provision of the  
3 bond hearing for the Petitioner.

4 Accordingly, the OSC re: preliminary injunction should be discharged, and the  
5 Petitioner should either voluntarily dismiss their Petition or else be required to respond  
6 to an OSC re: Dismissal.

7  
8 Respectfully submitted,

9 Dated: November 12, 2025

BILAL A. ESSAYLI  
First Assistant United States Attorney  
DAVID M. HARRIS  
Assistant United States Attorney  
Chief, Civil Division  
DANIEL A. BECK  
Assistant United States Attorney  
Chief, Complex and Defensive Litigation Section

13  
14 /s/ Daniel A. Beck

DANIEL A. BECK  
Assistant United States Attorney

15  
16 Attorneys for Federal Respondents  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28