

1 BILAL A. ESSAYLI
Acting United States Attorney
2 DAVID M. HARRIS
Assistant United States Attorney
3 Chief, Civil Division
DANIEL A. BECK (Cal. Bar No. 204496)
4 Assistant United States Attorney
Chief, Complex and Defensive Litigation Section
5 JOSEPH W. TURSI (Cal. Bar No. 300063)
Assistant United States Attorney
6 Federal Building, Suite 7516
300 North Los Angeles Street
7 Los Angeles, California 90012
Telephone: (213) 894-3989
8 E-mail: Joseph.Tursi@usdoj.gov

9 Attorneys for Federal Respondents

10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
11 FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
12

13 ANTONIO TEXIS ZECUA,

14 Petitioner,

15 v.

16 TODD LYONS, Acting Director,
17 Immigration Customs and Enforcement,
et al.,

18 Respondents.
19

No. 2:25-cv-09794-CV-PD

**FEDERAL RESPONDENTS'
RESPONSE TO ORDER TO SHOW
CAUSE RE: PRELIMINARY
INJUNCTION**

RESPONDENTS' OPPOSITION TO OSC RE: PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION

On October 17, 2025, the Court issued an order [[Dkt. 9](#)] providing that the Respondents are enjoined from continuing to detain the Petitioner unless he was provided a bond hearing before an immigration judge ("IJ") pursuant to [8 U.S.C. § 1226\(a\)](#). The Court's order further required Respondents to show cause as to why a preliminary injunction should not issue. Respondents hereby show such cause.

On October 24, 2025, Petitioner was provided a bond hearing. The IJ determined Petitioner to be a flight risk and set a bond at \$9,000 with alternatives for detention (ankle monitor). Both parties waived appeal.

Providing the Petitioner with the 1226(a) bond hearing moots the requested preliminary injunction and this habeas petition more generally. *See e.g., Javier Gonzales et al. v. Kristi Noem et al.*, 5:25-cv-02054-ODW-BFM, [Dkt. no. 16](#) (August 25, 2025 minute order by Hon. Judge Wright, denying as moot the petitioners' pending request for a preliminary injunction given their receipt pursuant to a TRO of 1226(a) bond hearings and issuing the petitioners an order to show cause re: dismissal); [Dkt. no. 17](#) (notice of voluntary dismissal); and [Dkt. no. 18](#) (order dismissing petition). *See also Moises Salomon Zaragoza Mosqueda v. Kristi Noem et al.*, 5:25-cv-002304-CAS-BFM, [Dkt. no. 15](#) (September 17, 2025 minute order by Hon. Judge Snyder, denying preliminary injunction and issuing OSC re dismissal for mootness given the petitioners' receipt of immigration bond hearings); and [Dkt. no. 16](#) (notice of voluntary dismissal).

While Respondents continue to oppose the issuance of a preliminary injunction pursuant to the legal positions stated in the Respondents' brief in opposition to the Petitioner's *ex parte* application for a temporary restraining order, and the Respondents maintain that those positions warrant denying a preliminary injunction on the merits, the issue is mooted in any event by the TRO's issuance [[Dkt. 9](#)] and the subsequent provision of the bond hearing for the Petitioner.

Accordingly, the OSC re: preliminary injunction should be discharged, and the Petitioner should either voluntarily dismiss his Petition or else be required to respond to

1 an OSC re: Dismissal.

2
3 Dated: October 24, 2025

Respectfully submitted,

4 BILAL A. ESSAYLI
Acting United States Attorney
5 DAVID M. HARRIS
Assistant United States Attorney
6 Chief, Civil Division
DANIEL A. BECK
7 Assistant United States Attorney
Chief, Complex and Defensive Litigation Section

8 /s/ Joseph W. Tursi

9 JOSEPH W. TURSI
Assistant United States Attorney

10 Attorneys for Federal Respondents
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28