

1 BILAL A. ESSAYLI
First Assistant United States Attorney
2 DAVID M. HARRIS
Assistant United States Attorney
3 Chief, Civil Division
DANIEL A. BECK (Cal. Bar No. 204496)
4 Assistant United States Attorney
Chief, Complex and Defensive Litigation Section
5 Federal Building, Suite 7516
300 North Los Angeles Street
6 Los Angeles, California 90012
Telephone: (213) 894-2574
7 E-mail: Daniel.Beck@usdoj.gov

8 Attorneys for Federal Respondents

9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
10 FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
11

12 Norma Lucina SALDANA GUZMAN,

13 Petitioner,

14 v.

15 Kristi NOEM, Secretary, Department of
16 Homeland Security, et al.,

17 Respondents.
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

No. 2:25-cv-09687-DMG-AGR

**FEDERAL RESPONDENTS' REPORT
REGARDING COMPLIANCE WITH
PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION;
SUGGESTION OF MOOTNESS**

Hon. Dolly M. Gee
United States District Judge

**RESPONDENTS' REPORT RE: PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION
COMPLIANCE; SUGGESTION OF MOOTNESS**

On October 31, 2025, the Court issued an order [[Dkt. 9](#)] providing that the Respondents are enjoined from continuing to detain the Petitioner unless they are provided a bond hearing before an immigration judge pursuant to [8 U.S.C. § 1226\(a\)](#). The Court's order further required the Respondents to file an update by November 17, 2025 as to their compliance with the order. Respondents hereby provide that update.

Petitioner unilaterally filed a "status report" on November 14, 2025 [[Dkt. 11](#)] noting that the Respondents had scheduled a timely bond hearing for the Petitioner, in accordance with the Court's order, but that Petitioner's counsel had withdrawn that bond hearing, apparently from concern that Petitioner would be unable to present evidence sufficient to show that she was not a criminal danger due to Petitioner's recent arrest for domestic violence. Having withdrawn her appearance at the scheduled bond hearing, Petitioner nonetheless requested that the Court not dismiss this matter until a rescheduled bond hearing can be held. *Id.*

As shown by the Immigration Court order attached as Exhibit A hereto, Petitioner was indeed scheduled for a bond hearing on November 4, 2025, but Petitioner's request for a change of her custody status was then withdrawn by her attorney.

The claim presented by the Petition is thus moot. Providing the Petitioner with the § 1226(a) bond hearing moots the requested preliminary injunction and this habeas petition more generally. *See, e.g., Javier Gonzales et al. v. Kristi Noem et al.*, 5:25-cv-02054-ODW-BFM, [Dkt. no. 16](#) (August 25, 2025 minute order by Hon. Judge Wright, denying as moot the petitioners' pending request for a preliminary injunction given their receipt pursuant to a TRO of 1226(a) bond hearings and issuing the petitioners an order to show cause re: dismissal); [Dkt. no. 17](#) (notice of voluntary dismissal); and [Dkt. no. 18](#) (order dismissing petition). *See also Moises Salomon Zaragoza Mosqueda v. Kristi Noem et al.*, 5:25-cv-002304-CAS-BFM, [Dkt. no. 15](#) (September 17, 2025 minute order by Hon. Judge Snyder, denying preliminary injunction and issuing OSC re dismissal for

1 mootness given the petitioners' receipt of immigration bond hearings); and Dkt. no. 16
2 (notice of voluntary dismissal).

3 The claim for relief is moot regardless of whether Petitioner, at the bond hearing,
4 decided to withdraw her request for a change of custody. Understanding that Petitioner
5 wishes to conceivably raise some unspecified claims, she has received the bond hearing
6 that her Petition sought, and she is not entitled to more.

7 Petitioner has requested, by her status report [Dkt. 11], that this matter not be
8 dismissed until after she files a new motion for a bond hearing and it is adjudicated.
9 While the Respondents maintain that this matter is moot and should be dismissed, a short
10 continuation would not be unduly prejudicial. The problems is that Petitioner has not yet
11 filed such a motion—stating in her status report that she needs to gather unspecified
12 evidence first—it is not scheduled, and it is unknown what claims Petitioner might try to
13 raise regarding such a future proceeding. Accordingly, the Respondents believe this
14 petition should be dismissed, without prejudice, at this juncture. If some unknown future
15 problem arises relative to Petitioner's detention status, which is speculative, it should be
16 raised as a new habeas petition (if there is a basis for that).

17
18 Respectfully submitted,

19 Dated: November 16, 2025

20 BILAL A. ESSAYLI
First Assistant United States Attorney
21 DAVID M. HARRIS
Assistant United States Attorney
Chief, Civil Division
22 DANIEL A. BECK
Assistant United States Attorney
Chief, Complex and Defensive Litigation Section

23 /s/ Daniel A. Beck

24 DANIEL A. BECK
Assistant United States Attorney

25 Attorneys for Federal Respondents
26
27
28

LOCAL RULE 11-6.2 CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

The undersigned, counsel of record for the Federal Respondents certifies that this brief contains 540 words, which complies with the word limit of L.R. 11-6.1.

Dated: November 16, 2025

/s/ Daniel A. Beck
DANIEL A. BECK

EXHIBIT A



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW
ADELANTO IMMIGRATION COURT**

Respondent Name:

GUZMAN, NORMA LUCINA

To:

Jordan, Jose Rodrigo
210 N. Citrus Ave
Suite A
Covina, CA 91723

A-Number:



Riders:

In Custody Redetermination Proceedings

Date:

11/04/2025

ORDER OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE

The respondent requested a custody redetermination pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 1236. After full consideration of the evidence presented, the respondent's request for a change in custody status is hereby ordered:

☐ Denied, because

- ☐ Granted. It is ordered that Respondent be:
- ☐ released from custody on his own recognizance.
 - ☐ released from custody under bond of \$
 - ☐ other:

☒ Other:
Withdrawn by attorney w/o prejudice.



Immigration Judge: Maury, Carlos 11/04/2025

Appeal: Department of Homeland Security: ☒ waived ☐ reserved
Respondent: ☒ waived ☐ reserved

Appeal Due:

Certificate of Service

This document was served:

Via: [M] Mail | [P] Personal Service | [E] Electronic Service | [U] Address Unavailable

To: [] Alien | [] Alien c/o custodial officer | [E] Alien atty/rep. | [E] DHS

Respondent Name : GUZMAN, NORMA LUCINA | A-Number :



Riders:

Date: 11/04/2025 By: Anthony Madera, Court Staff