

JOSE JORDAN AND ASSOCIATES, APLC

Jose R. Jordan, Esq. CA SBN 316268

Anastasia B. Jordan, Esq. CA SBN 314680

Alberto Consejo, Esq. CA SBN 316337

Jaqueline Vazquez, Esq. SBN 358024

210 N. Citrus Ave., Suite A

Covina, CA 91723

Telephone: (626) 594-5321

Facsimile: (626) 380-2615

E-Mail: info@josejordan.com

Attorneys for Petitioner

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

Homero GARCIA,

Petitioner,

v.

Kristi NOEM, Secretary, Department of
Homeland Security; Pam BONDI, Attorney
General; EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR
IMMIGRATION REVIEW; Todd LYONS,
Executive Associate Director of ICE
Enforcement and Removal
Operations (ERO); and David A. MARIN,
Adelanto Immigration and Customs
Field Office Director,

Respondents.

Civil Case No.:

2:25-cv-09474-KK-AS

**PETITIONER'S RESPONSE
TO ORDER TO SHOW
CAUSE**

//

PETITIONER’S RESPONSE TO ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

Homero GARCIA (hereinafter, “Petitioner”), through his attorney of record, Jose R. Jordan, Esq. (hereinafter, “Present Counsel”), hereby responds to the court’s order to show cause why the motion for preliminary injunction should not be denied and petition for *habeas corpus* petition should not be denied as moot.

As noted in Petitioner's response to Respondents' motion to dismiss, the former had withdrawn his first post-*habeas* petition/temporary restraining order (hereinafter, “TRO”) application motion for bond redetermination. Petitioner’s reason in so doing was solely attributable to the immigration judge’s (hereinafter, “IJ’s”) indicating that he was inclined to deny him release on bond (again) unless he withdrew the motion in question and re-filed it with evidence addressing the IJ’s concerns. Petitioner then advised the court that he would re-file his bond motion with the immigration judge as soon as possible.

On or about October 29, 2025, a few days after Present Counsel had filed the bond redetermination request on Petitioner’s behalf, the immigration court accepted said motion for filing purposes. In addition, Petitioner has now been scheduled for another bond hearing on November 12, 2025. Accordingly, Petitioner hereby renews his request that the court neither deny nor dismiss his *habeas* petition and TRO application, respectively, until at the very least the IJ adjudicates his renewed request for bond redetermination.

CONCLUSION

Based on the foregoing, Petitioner prays for the requested relief.

Dated: November 3, 2025

Respectfully submitted,

/s/Jose R. Jordan, Esq.

JOSE JORDAN AND ASSOCIATES, APLC

Attorney for Petitioner

LOCAL RULE 11-6.2 CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

The undersigned, counsel of record for Petitioner, certifies that this brief contains 429 words, which complies with the word limit of L.R. 11-6.1.

Dated: November 3, 2025

/s/Jose R. Jordan, Esq.

JOSE JORDAN AND ASSOCIATES, APLC

Attorney for Petitioner